

Spherical Approximation on Unit Sphere

Eman Samir Bhaya

Ekhlas Annon Musa

Department Of Mathematics/college Of Education For Pure Sciences/ University Of Babylon.

emanbhaya@itnet.uobabylon.com

ekhlasanoon@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a Jackson type theorem for functions in L_p spaces on sphere And study on best approximation of functions in L_p spaces defined on unit sphere.

our central problem is to describe the approximation behavior of functions in L_p spaces for $p < 1$ by modulus of smoothness of functions.

Keywords : Modulus of smoothness , orthogonal matrices on R^d , approximation of functions in L_p spaces defined on unit sphere .

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث نقدم نظرية جاكسون للدوال في الفضاءات L_p عندما $0 < p < 1$ على كرات الوحدة و دراسة افضل تقريب للدوال في الفضاءات L_p المعرفة على كرات الوحدة مشكلتنا الاساسية هنا هي وصف عملية التقريب لسلك الدوال في الفضاءات L_p , $p < 1$ باستخدام مقاييس معامل النعومة للدالة

الكلمات المفتاحية: مقاييس النعومة , المصفوفات المتعامدة في الفضاء R^d , تقريب الدوال في الفضاءات L_p المعرفة على كرات الوحدة.

We need the following definitions from Ditzian,2008

Definitions 1.1

For $L_p(S^{d-1})$, we denote the space of functions on the sphere

$$(S^{d-1}) = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1\}.$$

Let $f: S^d \rightarrow R$,

For functions on S^{d-1} in the function spaces $L_p(S^{d-1})$

, $p < 1$, we define the quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \left(\int_{S^d} |f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)|^p dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Naw modulus of smoothness $\omega_r(f, t)_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ where is recently introduced in Ditzian,1999. $\omega_r(f, t)_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ is given by

$$\omega_r(f, t)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \sup \left\{ \|\Delta_\rho^r f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} : \rho \in O_t \right\}, t \geq 0$$

Such that $\Delta_\rho f(x) = f(\rho x) - f(x)$, $\Delta_\rho^r f(x) = \Delta_\rho(\Delta_\rho^{r-1} f(x))$

$$\Delta_\theta^r f = (TQ - I)^r f$$

$$\text{If } r = 1, \Delta_\theta^1 f = (TQ - I)f$$

$$TQf(x) = f(Q(x)), Q \in So(d)$$

$$O_t = \{\rho \in So(d) : \max_{x \in S^{d-1}} [\rho x \cdot x] \geq cost\}$$

And $So(\square)$ is the group of orthogonal matrices of $d \times d$ real entries with determinant 1

Let M_\bullet be the $d \times d$ (even) matrix given by

$$M_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta \sin\theta & & & 0 \\ -\sin\theta \cos\theta & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & \cos\theta \sin\theta & \\ & & -\sin\theta \cos\theta & \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly $M_0 = I, (M_\theta)^j = M_{j\theta}$ and $(M_\theta)^{-1} = M_{-\theta}$

$$S_{(\theta,p)}f(x) = \frac{1}{m_\theta} \left(\int_{xy=\cos\theta} |f(y)|^p d\gamma(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad S_\theta I = I,$$

where $d\gamma$ is the measure on the set $\{y \in S^{d-1} : x \cdot y = \cos\theta\}$ that it causes by the Lebesgue measure on $S^1(d-2)$ ($\{y : x \cdot y = \cos\theta\}$ is an isometric map of dilation on $S^1(d-2)$),

and m_θ is given by $S_\theta I = I$;

$\tilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplace - Beltrami differential operator given, using the Laplacian operator

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}f(x) = \Delta F(x) \text{ for } x \in S^{d-1}, \text{ where } F(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$$

If $f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$ The K- functional of f defined as $K_{2\alpha}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^{2\alpha})_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \cong \inf \left(\|f - g\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} + t^{2\alpha} \|(-\tilde{\Delta})^\alpha g\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} : (-\tilde{\Delta})^\alpha g \in L_p(S^{d-1}) \right)$

$$S_\theta f(x) = \frac{1}{m(\theta)} \int_{\{y \in S^{d-1} : x \cdot y = \cos\theta\}} f(y) d\gamma(y).$$

$$S_\theta I = I, x \in S^{d-1}$$

$$m_\ell(u) = \frac{-2}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell-j} m(ju)$$

2.Auxiliary Results

In this paper we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 Ditzian,2004

For $f \in L_p(S^{d-1}), p < 1$

We have $\int \left[\int |f(Q^{-1}M_\theta Qx)|^p dQ \right]^{1/p}$

Where $S_{\theta,p}(f) = \frac{1}{m_\theta} \left(\int_{xy=\cos\theta} |f(y)|^p d\gamma(y) \right)^{1/p}$

Lemma 2.2

For $f \in L_p(S^{d-1}), p < 1$ then

$$\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}, \text{ for any } \rho \in So(d)$$

Proof

Since $\rho \in S$, so that

$\rho \in S$, then

$$\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

and

$$\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.3 Ditzian, 2008

An operation by an element of $so(d)$ is an isometry and in most situations under the condition

$$\|f(\rho x) - f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\rho - I| \rightarrow 0$$

where $|\rho - I|^2 = \max_{x \in S^{d-1}} ((\rho x - x) \cdot (\rho x - x))$. (Note that $\max(\rho x \cdot x) \cong \cos \alpha$ is

equivalent to $|\rho - I| \leq 2 \left| \frac{\sin \alpha}{2} \right|$)

Lemma 2.4 Ditzian, 2004

For an integer ℓ we have

$$\binom{2\ell}{\ell} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell-j} \cos j\theta = 4^{\ell} \sin^{2\ell} \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Lemma 2.5 Ditzian, 2004

$$S_{\theta} f(x) = \frac{1}{m(\theta)} \int_{\{y \in S^{d-1} : x \cdot y = \cos \theta\}} f(y) d\gamma(y), \quad S_{\theta} I = I, \quad x \in S^{d-1}$$

For $S_{j,\theta} f$ given in
$$S_{j,\theta} f(x) = \frac{-2}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell-j} S_{j\theta} f(x)$$

$(S_{j,\theta} f)^{\wedge}(x) \equiv m_{\ell}(2\pi^{\ell} |x|) \widehat{f}(x)$ and

$$1 - m_{\ell}(u) = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{4^{\ell}}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\sin us}{2}\right)^{2\ell} (1-s^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} ds$$

Lemma 2.6 Ditzian, 2004

For $0 < u \leq \pi$, $0 < C_1 u^{2\ell} \leq 1 - m_{\ell}(u) \leq C_2 u^{2\ell}$,

For $u \geq \pi$, $0 < m_{\ell}(u) \leq S_{j,\theta} < 1$.

Lemma 2.7 Ditzian, 2004

For

$$\left| \left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^j m_{\ell}(u) \right| \leq C_{\ell,j} \left(\frac{1}{1+u}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$$

Lemma2.8

Proof

Following the proof of lemma 3.17 step by step in Stein,G.Weiss,1971 we can get the proof ■

3. The Main Results

In this paper we introduce our main results

Theorem 3.1

For $f \in L_p(S^{d-1}), p < 1$, we have

- (i) $S_{(\theta,p)}f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$
- (ii) $\|S_{(\theta,p)}f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p)\|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$
- (iii) $\|S_{(\theta,p)}f - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p)\omega_r(f, \theta)_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$

Proof of

- (i) Using $\|f(\rho)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$

We directly get $S_{(\theta,p)}f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$

- (ii) Now we use $\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ and hence

$\|f(Q^{-1}M_\theta Q_x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ to obtain

$$\|S_{(\theta,p)}f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \left\| \int_{So(d)} |f(Q^{-1}M_\theta Q_x)|^{pr} dQ \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}^{1/p}$$

For $Q^{-1}M_\theta Q = \rho$

$$\left(\int_{So(d)} \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} |f(Q^{-1}M_\theta Q_x)|^{pr} dQ \right|^{1/p} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$= \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{So(d)} |f(Q^{-1}M_\theta Q_x)|^{pr} dQ dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C(p) \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |f(\rho x)|^{pr} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C(p) \|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}^{pr}$$

- (iii) The inequality $\|S_\theta f - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq c(p)\omega_r(f, \theta)_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ follows from to above where

$$\Delta_\rho f(x) = f(\rho x) - f(x)$$

for $r = 1$ to show that the remainder of

$$\|S_\theta f - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p)\omega_r(f, \theta)_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

we note that

$$\int_{So(d)} f(Q^{-1}M_\theta Q_x) dQ = \int_{So(d)} f(Q^{-1}M_{-\theta} Q_x) dQ$$

$$= \int_{So(d)} f(Q^{-1}M_{-\theta} Q_x^{-1}) dQ$$

and use
$$\| \Delta_{\rho}^r f \|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \| (TQ - I)^r \|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

$$= \left\| (T - I)^s \sum_{m=0}^{r-s} \binom{r-s}{m} (T - I)^m T^{r-s-m} \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

For $T_{\rho} f(x) = f(\rho x), \rho = Q^{-1} M_{\theta} Q$ and $\rho^{-1} = Q M_{-\theta} Q^{-1}$ ■

Theorem 3.2

If $f \in L_p(S^{d-1}), p \leq 1$ and $\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$, for any $\rho \in SO(d), \|f(\rho x) - f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\rho - I| \rightarrow 0$

where $|\rho - \eta|^2 = \max_{x \in S^{d-1}} ((\rho x - \eta x) \cdot (\rho x - \eta x))$. (Not that

$\max(\rho x - x) \geq \cos \square$ is equivalent to $|\rho - I| \leq 2 \left| \frac{\sin t}{2} \right|$)

then $\|C_n^{\delta}(f, x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$

Proof

In fact $C_n^{\delta}(f, x) = \int_0^{\pi} M_n^{\delta}(\theta) S_{\theta}(f, x) d\theta$ is known for $f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_n^{\delta}(f, x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} &= \left\| \int_0^{\pi} M_n^{\delta}(\theta) S_{\theta}(f, x) d\theta \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^{\pi} M_n^{\delta}(\theta) S_{\theta}(f, x) d\theta \right\|_{L_1(S^{d-1})} \\ &\leq \int_0^{\pi} M_n^{\delta}(\theta) \|S_{\theta}(f, x)\|_{L_1(S^{d-1})} d\theta \\ &= \|S_{\theta}(f, x)\|_{L_1(S^{d-1})} \\ &= \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_{\theta}(f, x)| dx \right) \\ &= \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_{\theta}|^{1-p+p} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_{\theta}|^p |S_{\theta}|^{1-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_{\theta}|^{1-p+p} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C(p) \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_{\theta}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ using} \end{aligned}$$

$S_{(\theta,p)} f = \frac{1}{m_{\theta}} \left(\int |f(y)|^p d\gamma(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ we get

$$\|C_n^{\delta}(f, x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \blacksquare$$

Theorem 3.3

Let $f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$ for even $d > 3$, with

$\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ for each $\rho \in SO(d)$ and $\|f(\rho x) - f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\rho - I| \rightarrow 0$ then

$$\|C_n^{\delta} - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p, \theta) \omega_r(f, \mu)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \cdot \omega_r(\mathbb{1}, \mu)_{\infty} \cdot \omega_r(f, \mu)_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

Proof

$$\|C_n^\delta - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq \left\| \int_0^\pi \mu_n^\delta(\theta) \left[(S_\theta) f - f \right] d\theta \right\|_{L_1(S^{d-1})}$$

$$\leq \int_0^\pi \mu_n^\delta(\theta) \|S_\theta(f) - f\|_{L_1(S^{d-1})} d\theta$$

Then using the fact that $\int_0^\pi M_n^\delta(\theta) d\theta = 1$ We get

$$\|C_n^\delta - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_\theta(f) - f|^{p-p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p+1}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_\theta(f) - f|^p |S_\theta(f) - f|^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_\theta(f) - f| d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |S_\theta(f) - f|^p \|S_\theta(f) - f\|_\infty \|S_\theta(f) - f\|_\infty$$

$$\leq C(p, \theta) \|f - S_\theta(f)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \omega_r(f, \mu)_\infty \omega_r(f, \mu)_\infty^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq C(p, \theta) \omega_r(f, \mu)_p \omega_r(f, \mu)_\infty \omega_r(f, \mu)_\infty^{-\frac{1}{p}} \blacksquare$$

Theorem 3.4

If $f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$, then

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq c(p) K_{2\alpha}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, n^{-2\alpha})_{L_p(S^{d-1})}, \alpha > 0$$

proof

$$E_n(f) \leq \|f - g\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

$$\leq c(p) \|f - g\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} + t^{2\alpha} \left\| (-\tilde{\Delta})^\alpha g \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} : (-\tilde{\Delta})^\alpha g \in L_p(S^{d-1})$$

$$\leq c(p) K_{2\alpha}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, n^{-2\alpha})_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \blacksquare$$

Theorem 3.5

If $f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$ with even $d > 3$ with

$$\|f(\rho)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \text{ for any } \rho \in So(d)$$

$$\|f(\rho) - f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\rho - I| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2\ell}$$

$$\left\| f + \frac{2}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{j=i}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell} S_{j,\theta} f \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \cong K_{2\ell}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, \theta^{2\ell})_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

Then

Proof

by definition of the K—functional with

$$\left\| f + \frac{2}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{j=i}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell} S_{j,\theta} f \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

$$\|f - S_{j,\theta}\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} + t \|-\tilde{\Delta} S_\theta f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

We realize the operator $\eta_{\alpha\theta}(f)$ using the function $\eta(x)$ satisfying $\eta(x) \in C^\infty(R_+)$,

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and $\eta(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 2$ the operator $\eta_{\alpha\theta}(f)$ is given by

$$\eta_{\alpha\theta}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta(\alpha \theta k) P_k(f) \quad f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(f)$$

, where

for

and the definition of the K- functional $K_{\ell}(f, \Delta, t^{2\ell})_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ we just have to show for all $f \in L_p(R^d)$ and some fixed $\alpha > 0$

$$K_{\ell}(f, \Delta, t^{2\ell})_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \approx K_{\ell}(f, \Delta, \alpha^{-2\ell} t^{2\ell})_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

(as display that

$$(i) \|f - S_{j,\theta} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \geq C_1 \|f - \eta_{\alpha^{-\ell}/t} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

$$(ii) \|f - S_{j,\theta} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \geq C_2 t^{2\ell} \|\Delta^{\ell} \eta_{\alpha^{-\ell}/t} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

To prove i- it sufficient to show

$$\|f - S_{j,\theta} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C_4 \|f - S_{j,\theta} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \quad (1)$$

since $\eta_{\alpha^{-\ell}/t}$ and $S_{j,\theta}$ are bounded multiplier operators on $L_p(R^d)$, we have

$$\|(I - \eta_{\alpha^{-\ell}/t})(I + S_{j,\theta} + S_{j,\theta}^2 + S_{j,\theta}^3 + S_{j,\theta}^4)(f - S_{j,\theta} f)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C_5 \|f - S_{j,\theta} f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

where I is the identity operator. To prove (1) we have to show that

$$\phi(u) = \frac{(1 - \eta(u/\alpha))m_{\ell}(u)^5}{1 - m_{\ell}(u)}$$

$$\|D^{\nu} \phi(u)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq \frac{C}{[(1 + |u|)^{\alpha}]^{d+\alpha}}, \alpha > 0$$

is bounded multiplier on

(At least for $|v| \leq d + 1$, but here that restriction does not metter) while the above is well known and used many times. We show it to below to help the reader. For $\Phi^{\nu}(x)$

$$\Phi^{\nu}(x) = \int_{R^d} \Phi(y) e^{2\pi i x y} dy$$

given by

Which may be considered as a Fourier transform, and prove the lemma 2.8

$$\|D^{\nu} \phi(u)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |u|)^{d+\alpha}}$$

which implies the sufficiency of showing that

$\alpha > 0$ and $|v| \leq d + 1$. We observation that for $|u| \leq 1$, $\phi(u) = 0$. for $|u| \geq 1$, then

using Lemma 2.7, we recall that the multipliers, and we get that

$$\|D^{\nu} \phi(u)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(v) \left(\frac{1}{1 + |u|}\right)^{5\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} = C(v) \left(\frac{1}{1 + |u|}\right)^{d + \frac{3}{2}d - \frac{5}{2}}$$

and for

The proof of (ii) is step by step of the relation (3.9) of Ditzian, 2004 ■

Theorem 3.6

For $f \in L_p(S^{d-1})$ for $d = 2n, n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ with

$$\|f(\rho x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} = \|f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \text{ for any } \rho \in SO(d)$$

$$\|f(\rho x) - f(x)\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\rho - I| \rightarrow 0,$$

where $|\rho - \eta|^2 = \max_{x \in S^{d-1}} ((\rho x - \eta x) \cdot (\rho x - \eta x))$ (Note that $\max(\rho x, x) \geq \cos \square$ is

equivalent to $|\rho - I| \leq 2 \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right|$) then

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) \omega^2 \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

Proof

We have $E(n)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) K_{2\alpha}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, n^{-2\alpha})_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$ by

$$\left\| f + \frac{2}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell-j} S_{j\theta} f \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \approx K_{2\ell}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, \theta^{2\ell})_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

We have

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) K_{2\alpha}(f, \tilde{\Delta}, n^{-2\alpha})_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) \left\| f + \frac{2}{\binom{2\ell}{\ell} \sum (-1)^j \binom{2\ell}{\ell-j} S_{j\theta} f} \right\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})}$$

And by $\|S_{\theta} f - f\|_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) \omega^2(f, \theta)$

we get

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \leq C(p) \omega^2(f, \theta)_{L_p(S^{d-1})} \blacksquare$$

References

Ditzian, Z., 1999, A modulus of smoothness on the unit sphere, *J. Analyse Math.*, 79, 189-200
 Daiand, F., Ditzian, Z., 2004, Combinations of multivariate averages, *J. Approx. Theory*, 131, 268-283.
 Daiand, F., Ditzian, Z., Jackson inequality for Banach spaces on the sphere, *Amath.hungar.* 118(1-2) (2008), 171-195
 Stein, E.M., Weiss, G., Introduction to Fourier analysis in Euclidean spaces, Princeton university press, Princeton, NJ, 1971