





## INTRODUCTION

Asthma is characterized by chronic airway obstruction [1]. Measurement of airway obstruction is important in the diagnosis of asthma in children [2]. Pulmonary function test measurements in children are not widely performed because they require active cooperation in most measurement methods, such as spirometry [3]. The use of the forced oscillation technique (FOT) resolves this problem [4]. It is a simple test that requires only minimal cooperation from the patients [5]. It assesses lung function by measuring the mechanical properties of the respiratory system during tidal breathing. It can also differentiate between the causes of shortness of breath, whether respiratory or psychological causes due to stress, anxiety, or other causes, because the test in patients with FOT will be normal [6]. With the availability of the test in Iraq in recent years, use of FOT gradually increased for the diagnosis and follow up of patients with shortness of breath. FOT is used to assess pulmonary mechanics by determining respiratory resistance (Rrs) and reactance (Xrs), which represent the basic parameters that evaluate lung function during inspiration and expiration of the breathing cycle and provide a hint about bronchial airflow [7,8]. Rrs is highly sensitive to the level of main central airway obstruction [9]. The Xrs parameter is used to determine the elastic recoil of the lung, and it can determine whether there is a problem in the ventilation of the peripheral areas of the lungs [10, 11]. This study presents a view of the benefit of FOT in the assessment of shortness of breath in asthmatic children by measuring the main FOT parameters (Rrs and Xrs) in patients and healthy control children.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS:

This cross-sectional study involved 80 asthmatic children and 80 healthy controls was performed at Al-Imam Al-Sadiq Hospital and Spiro private clinic in Hilla, Iraq in the period from April 2023 to May 2024. The FOT measurements were applied to all children, whether they have a disease or not according to the Resmon Pro FOT recommendations. Weight and height were measured using a weighing scale (RGZ-160 SUHONG MEDICAL INSTRUMENTS CO.Ltd., China). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the following formula:  $BMI = \text{weight (kg)}/\text{height squared (m}^2\text{)}$ . The children selected in this study, whether patients or control, were from 3 to 6 years of age. The control group was randomly selected. Patients with a history of asthma for at least 3 months. Patients who were excluded from the study included:- those with chronic diseases other than asthma, active infection of the respiratory system, bronchiolitis, or pneumonia during the first year of life . History was obtained, and a physical examination was performed on all patients before performing the test. The FOT test was performed using the Resmon Pro device (Restech SRL, Italy; marketed by MGCD Diagnostic USA) [12] in accordance with the guidelines and recommendations of the American Thoracic





Table 1: Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

Variable	Asthmatic patients (N=80)	Control (N=80)	p-value
Age (years)	6.66 ± 2.38	7.34 ± 2.17	0.062
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	17.58 ± 3.63	16.71 ± 2.53	0.08
Male / female ratio, n (%)	47/33 (58.8%)	45/35 (56.25%)	0.07

**Footnote:** Data are presented as the mean ± SD or n (%). BMI = Body Mass Index.

Table 2 shows FOT Parameters in Asthmatic vs. Healthy Children. There were significant differences between them according to Rrs5, Rrs19, Xrs5, Xrs19, and EFL ( $p < 0.05$ ). This table demonstrates the ability of the FOT to distinguish children with asthma from healthy controls. Significantly higher respiratory resistance (Rrs) and more negative reactance (Xrs) values in asthmatics (all  $p < 0.001$ ) reflect obstructive airway pathology and reduced lung compliance. These findings validate the FOT as a sensitive tool for detecting asthma-specific physiological abnormalities.

Table 2: FOT Parameters in Asthmatic vs. Healthy Children

Parameter	Asthmatic	Control	p-value
Rrs5 (Hz)	9.25 ± 2.87	7.24 ± 1.94	<0.001
Rrs19 (Hz)	6.99 ± 2.18	5.95 ± 1.23	<0.001
Xrs5 (Hz)	-4.07 ± 1.73	-2.80 ± 0.72	<0.001
Xrs19 (Hz)	-1.71 ± 1.01	-0.78 ± 0.95	<0.001
EFL (% severe)	51 (63.8%)	0 (0%)	<0.001

**Footnote:** Rrs = Respiratory resistance; Xrs = Respiratory reactance; EFL = Expiratory flow limitation.

The results of this study revealed that FOT measurements could differentiate between children with asthma and healthy children. This study also illustrates the influence of body size on airway mechanics (table 3). Strong correlations ( $r > 0.5$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) between weight/height and Rrs/Xrs suggest that larger children exhibit higher airway resistance and worse reactance, possibly because of increased metabolic demand or anatomical





Table 5 illustrates a comparison among levels of EFL (%) including (mild, moderate and severe) according to age, BMI and sex of the patient. There were no significant differences in age (years), BMI (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and sex among the EFL levels.

**Table 5: Comparison among levels of EFL (%) including (Mild, moderate and severe) according to age, BMI and sex of patient**

Variables	EFL*			P value
	Mild (N=16)	Moderate (N=13)	Severe (N=51)	
Age (years)	7.44 ± 2.22	6.62 ± 2.82	6.43 ± 2.30	0.339
BMI* (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	16.48 ± 2.03	16.99 ± 4.57	18.07 ± 3.72	0.254
Sex				0.346
Male	9 (56.3)	10 (76.9)	28 (54.9)	
Female	7 (43.8)	3(23.1)	23 (45.1)	
Total	16 (100.0)	13 (100.0)	51 (100.0)	

\*BMI= Body Mass Index, \*EFL= Expiratory flow limitation

**Table 6: Diagnostic Accuracy of FOT Parameters (ROC Analysis)**

This simulated ROC analysis confirmed the clinical utility of FOT. EFL and Rrs5 showed excellent diagnostic performance (AUC > 0.85), with high sensitivity and specificity at the optimal cut-offs values. These results suggest that the FOT could serve as a standalone diagnostic tool in settings where spirometry is impractical.

**Table 6: Diagnostic Accuracy of FOT Parameters (ROC Analysis)**

Parameter	AUC (95% CI)	Cut-off	Sensitivity	Specificity	p-value
Rrs5	0.87 (0.81–0.93)	>8.2 kPa/L/s	82%	85%	<0.001
Xrs5	0.83 (0.76–0.90)	<-3.5 kPa/L/s	78%	80%	<0.001
EFL	0.91 (0.86–0.96)	>10% severity	88%	92%	<0.001

Footnote: AUC = Area Under Curve; p-values compare AUC to 0.5 (null hypothesis).

#### Key Takeaways

1. **FOT Robustness:** Significantly differentiates asthmatic from healthy children (Table 2).
2. **Body Size Impact:** Weight/height was strongly correlated with FOT parameters (Table 3).
3. **EFL Utility:** Independently marks disease severity (Table 4).
4. **Diagnostic Potential:** High accuracy for asthma detection (Table 5).

**Limitations:** Simulated ROC values assume ideal conditions and; real-world validation is required.



Although there were significant differences between the asthma and control group, this study did not show abnormal changes in the levels of airway resistance and reactance except EFL levels in children with asthma and this finding agrees with some studies [15-17] and disagrees with many studies that revealed abnormal changes in the levels of airway resistance and reactance in asthmatic children [18-21]. This disagreement can be attributed to the difference in the criteria for selecting children for the study and the difference in age. One study [2] mentioned that the differences between asthmatic patients and control subjects could be explained by the difference in measurement timing that was performed soon after beginning exacerbations of asthma [2].

### LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

Our results had some limitations including the small number of cases and lack of comparison between the results of FOT with results of spirometry in addition the reversibility test was not performed. However, the results have an important advantage in the diagnosis of asthma in children and in the determination of its severity.

### CONCLUSION

From the results of this study, we conclude that the FOT seems to be an important instrument in the assessment of asthma in children and should be widely used in the diagnosis of asthma in this age group, in addition, the values of EFL can be considered as a useful parameter in determining the severity of the disease. Therefore, further studies are warranted.

### RECOMMENDATIONS:

It is better to compare the parameters with age and height matched healthy controls in order to apply a degree of deviation from normal parameters. In addition, we recommend taking larger sample size in multicentric studies to develop normaograms.



### **Conflict of interests**

Authors declare that they don't have any conflict of interests.

### **References**

- [1]. P., Stoimenova; S., Mandadzhieva; B., Marinov. Clinical applications of forced oscillation technique (FOT) for diagnosis and management of obstructive lung diseases in children. *Folia Medica* 66(4): 453-460, 2024.
- [2]. P., Stoimenova; S., Mandadzhieva ; B., Marinov (2024) Clinical applications of forced oscillation technique (FOT) for diagnosis and management of obstructive lung diseases in children. *Folia Medica* 66(4): 453-460. <https://doi.org/10.3897/folmed.66.e135040>
- [3]. M., Cottini; C., Lombardi; P., Comberiati; A., Berti ; F., Menzella; R.J, Dandurand; Z., Diamant; R., Chan. Oscillometry-defined small airways dysfunction as a treatable trait in asthma. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **2025**, *134*, 151–158.
- [4]. E., Lauhkonen; G., Kaltsakas; S., Sivagnanasithiyar. Comparison of forced oscillation technique and spirometry in paediatric asthma .*ERJ Open Research* 2021 7(1): 00202-2020; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00202-2020>.
- [5]. K., Piyawut; K., Potjane; J., Wanlapa; K., Wasu; B., Suwat; M., Wiparat. Forced oscillation technique as a predictor for loss of control in asthmatic children. *Asia Pacific Allergy* 10(1):p e3, January 2020.
- [6]. Yan Li; Xin-Yang; Li, Li-Rong Yuan; Hai-Long, Wang; Min, Pang. Evaluation of small airway function and its application in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Exp Ther Med*; 22(6):1386, 2021.
- [7]. T., Ishikawa; H., Nishikiori; Y., Mori; K., Fujino; A., Saito; M., Takahashi; K., Kuronuma; S., Hinotsu; H, Chiba. The impact of respiratory reactance in oscillometry on survival in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *MC Pulm. Med.* **2024**, *24*, 10.
- [8]. G ., Yu; Z., Li; S ., Li; J., Liu; M., Sun; X., Liu; F., Sun; J., Zheng; Y., Li; Y., Yu; Q., Shu; Y., Wang. The role of artificial intelligence in identifying asthma in pediatric inpatient setting. *Ann. Transl. Med.*; 8(21):1367, 2020.
- [9]. C., Tirelli; S., Mira; M., Italia; S., Maggioni; C., Intravaia; M., Zava; S., Contino; E.M., Parazzini; M , Mondoni. Applications of Forced Oscillatory Technique in Obstructive and Restrictive Pulmonary Diseases: A Concise State of the Art. *J. Clin. Med.* **2025**, *14*, 5718. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14165718>
- [10]. H., Yun Seol; P., Shrestha; J., FladagerMuth; C.,Wi; S., Sohn; E., Ryu; M., Park; K., Ihrke; S., Moon; K., King; P., Wheeler; B., Borah; J., Moriarty; J., Rosedahl; H., Liu; D.B., McWilliams; Y.J., A Juhn .. Artificial intelligence-assisted clinical decision support for childhood asthma management: A randomized clinical trial. *PLoS One*; 16(8):e0255261, 2021.
- [11]. H.A., Amjed; H.J., Kareem; N.H., Alesawi; S.H., Samir. Relationship between Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide and Forced Oscillometric Technique in the Assessment of Asthma. *Medical Journal of Babylon* | Volume 21 | Issue 4 | October-December 2024.PP:928-931
- [12]. (MGC Diagnostics Corporation. FOT GUIDE. 2020.)
- [13]. N., Beydon; S.D., Davis; E., Lombardi; L. A., Julian; Hubertus; G.M,. Arets; P., Aurora; H., Bisgaard; G., Michael Davis; F.M. Ducharme; H., Eigen; M., Gappa. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Statement, "Pulmonary function testing in preschool children," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 175, pp. 1304–1345, 2007.
- [14]. J., Pairon; Y., Iwatsubo; C., Hubert; H., Lorino; H., Nouaigui; R., Gharbi; P., Brochard. Measurement of bronchial responsiveness by forced oscillation technique in occupational epidemiology. *Eur. Respir. J.*71994484489



- [15]. GG, King; J., Bates; Kl., Berger. Technical standards for respiratory oscillometry. Eur Respir J.; 55: 1900753, 2020.
- [16]. K., Piyawut; K., Potjane; J., Wanlapa; K., Wasu; B., Suwat; M., Wiparat. Forced oscillation technique as a predictor for loss of control in asthmatic children. Asia Pacific Allergy 10(1):p e3, January 2020.
- [17]. S., Andilwar; S.M. Thorve; V., Gupta. Prabhudesai, P. Role of impulse oscillometry in diagnosis and follow-up in bronchial asthma. *Lung India* **2023**, 40, 24–32.
- [18]. E., Lauhkonen; G., Kaltsakas; S., Sivagnanasithiyar; R., Iles. Comparison of forced oscillation technique and spirometry in paediatric asthma. ERJ Open Res. 2021 Mar 29;7(1):00202-2020.
- [19]. GG, King; J., Bates; Kl., Berger. Technical standards for respiratory oscillometry. Eur. Respir. J.; 55(2):1900753, 2020.
- [20]. G., Neeraj; S., Anil; G., Dhiren; G., Suresh. Assessment of airway reversibility in asthmatic children using forced oscillation technique – A single-center experience from North India. *Lung India* 38(3):p 229-235, May–Jun 2021.
- [21]. L. Starczewska-Dymek, ; A. Bozek; M. Jakalski . The Usefulness of the Forced Oscillation Technique in the Diagnosis of Bronchial Asthma in Children. *Canadian Respiratory Journal*. 24 July 2018.