



A New Neutrosophic Sets in Neutrosophic Topology

Asia I. Saeed^{1*}, Hardi A. SHareef²

¹ College of Science, University of Sulaimani, asiaibram080@gmail.com, Sulaimania, Iraq.

² College of Science, University of Sulaimani, hardy.shareef@univsul.edu.iq, Sulaimania, Iraq.

*Corresponding author email: hardy.shareef@univsul.edu.iq; mobile: 07701543561

مجموعة نيوتروسوفية جديدة في الطوبولوجيا النيوتروسوفية

اسيا ابراهيم سعيد^{1*}، هادي علي شريف²

1 كلية العلوم، جامعة السليمانية، asiaibram080@gmail.com، السليمانية، العراق

2 كلية العلوم، جامعة السليمانية، hardy.shareef@univsul.edu.iq، السليمانية، العراق

Accepted:

1/2/2026

Published:

31/3/2026

ABSTRACT

The neutrosophic sets approach was proposed by Smarandache. Uncertain data is handled using neutrosophic sets. Within this article, we introduce a novel forms of somewhat open set within neutrosophic topological space also we investigate several their basic characteristics and examples within neutrosophic topological space. Further neutrosophic somewhat interior, neutrosophic somewhat closure, neutrosophic somewhat derived set, neutrosophic somewhat frontier operators are discussed. Also, the notion of neutrosophic somewhat functions and somewhat irresolute functions were introduced in neutrosophic topological spaces.

Keywords: Neutrosophic S_w -open set, Neutrosophic S_w -interior, Neutrosophic S_w -closure and Neutrosophic S_w -frontier.

1 INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic were initially suggested by L. Zadeh in 1965. That serves as a crucial idea for managing the unknown in daily existence, since every component have an associated role [9]. An extension of the theory of fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets with intuition were introduced by Atanassov in 1986 [11]. Intuitionistic sets of fuzzy elements are defined by each element's member function and non-membership function. In contrast, we must deal with incompatibility and uncertainty in everyday existence. Within this situation, Smarandache used neutrosophic theory of sets to deal with current issues in real-life situations. Smarandache's neutrosophic theory of sets was centred on the sciences of society, engineering, medicine care, and other areas [10, 12]. Members, uncertainty, and non-membership functions are characteristics of neutrosophic groups [13, 8]. In 2012, Salama and Alblowi defined neutrosophic topological spaces using neutrosophic sets [3]. Additional studies were



conducted to look at the distinct characteristics of set neutrosophic within other domains [2 – 6, 15]. Velico [16] introduced a novel class of a topological space set known as δ -open set. In 1987 Popa [19] introduced the concept of somewhat preopen (or somewhat nearly open) set. Crossely and Hilderband proposed the idea of irresolute function in 1972 [20]. Baker [17] studied the notion of somewhat open functions in 1996. This notation was introduced by Centry, Karel and Hoyle in 1971. On the other hand Sarak in 2006 studied the notation of somewhat continuous functions [18]. This concept was also introduced by Frolik in 1961. The purpose of somewhat open set in the area of neutrosophic topological space is discussed throughout the present research. We generated ideas and proposed the novel class of set called neutrosophic somewhat open set exercised with theorems and appropriate examples.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [2] Suppose that X is an exact set that is not empty. A variety of neutrosophic set \hat{A} is an item with the shape $\hat{A} = \{\langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), \nu_{\hat{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, when the qualifications of membership, uncertainty, and non-membership are presented by $(x_{\hat{A}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), \nu_{\hat{A}}(x))$, respectively. $\mathcal{N}(X)$ will represent a category that contains every neutrosophic sets in X . The total values $x_{\hat{A}}(x)$, $\nu_{\hat{A}}(x)$, and $\lambda_{\hat{A}}(x)$ is unrestricted. So $0 \leq x_{\hat{A}}(x) + \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) + \nu_{\hat{A}}(x) \leq 3^+$, for each $x \in X$.

Remark 2.1 [3] An organised triplet $\langle x_{\hat{A}}, \lambda_{\hat{A}}, \nu_{\hat{A}} \rangle$ in $]^{-0, 1^+}$ on X could be recognised as a neutrosophic set $\hat{A} = \{\langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), \nu_{\hat{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.2 [3] Let $\hat{A} = \langle x, x_{\hat{A}}, \lambda_{\hat{A}}, \nu_{\hat{A}} \rangle$ be a \mathcal{NS} of X . Then the completeness of neutrosophic set \hat{A} , represented as \hat{A}^c , and it is laid out by three types of complements.

$$\begin{aligned} C_1. \hat{A}^c &= \{\langle x, \nu_{\hat{A}}(x), 1 - \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), x_{\hat{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in X\} \\ C_2. \hat{A}^c &= \{\langle x, \nu_{\hat{A}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), x_{\hat{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in X\} \\ C_3. \hat{A}^c &= \{\langle x, 1 - x_{\hat{A}}(x), 1 - \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), 1 - \nu_{\hat{A}} \rangle : x \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3 [3] The following is a representation of two neutrosophic sets $1_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $0_{\mathcal{N}}$ in X :

$$\begin{aligned} (1_1) 1_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 1, 0, 0 \rangle : x \in X\} \\ (1_2) 1_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 1, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X\} \\ (1_3) 1_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X\} \\ (1_4) 1_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 1, 1, 1 \rangle : x \in X\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (0_1) 0_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X\} \\ (0_2) 0_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 0, 1, 1 \rangle : x \in X\} \\ (0_3) 0_{\mathcal{N}} &= \{\langle x, 0, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X\} \end{aligned}$$



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم والتكنولوجيا | Journal of Babylon University for Pure and Applied Sciences

$$(0_4) 0_{\mathcal{N}} = \{\langle x, 0, 0, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}.$$

Definition 2.4 [3] $\hat{A} \cap \hat{C}$ is characterized as follows if \hat{A} and \hat{C} are any pair of neutrosophic sets on X :

- i. $\hat{A} \cap \hat{C} = \langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x) \wedge x_{\hat{C}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) \vee \lambda_{\hat{C}}(x) \& \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \vee \tau_{\hat{C}}(x) \rangle$
- ii. $\hat{A} \cap \hat{C} = \langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x) \wedge x_{\hat{C}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) \wedge \lambda_{\hat{C}}(x) \& \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \vee \tau_{\hat{C}}(x) \rangle.$

Definition 2.5 [3] $\hat{A} \cup \hat{C}$ is characterized as follows if \hat{A} and \hat{C} are any pair of neutrosophic sets on X :

- i. $\hat{A} \cup \hat{C} = \langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x) \vee x_{\hat{C}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) \wedge \lambda_{\hat{C}}(x) \& \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \wedge \tau_{\hat{C}}(x) \rangle$
- ii. $\hat{A} \cup \hat{C} = \langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x) \vee x_{\hat{C}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) \vee \lambda_{\hat{C}}(x) \& \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \wedge \tau_{\hat{C}}(x) \rangle.$

Definition 2.6 [3] $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C}$ is characterized as follows if \hat{A} and \hat{C} are any pair of neutrosophic sets on X :

- i. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C}$ if and only if $x_{\hat{A}}(x) \leq x_{\hat{C}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) \geq \lambda_{\hat{C}}(x) \& \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \geq \tau_{\hat{C}}(x) \forall x \in X$
- ii. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C}$ if and only if $x_{\hat{A}}(x) \leq x_{\hat{C}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x) \leq \lambda_{\hat{C}}(x) \& \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \geq \tau_{\hat{C}}(x) \forall x \in X.$

Definition 2.8 [4] Let \mathbb{D} & \mathbb{K} be any neutrosophic sets in X , then the following are exists:

- i. $(\mathbb{D})^c \cup (\mathbb{K})^c = (\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{K})^c$
- ii. $(\mathbb{D})^c \cap (\mathbb{K})^c = (\mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{K})^c.$

Definition 2.7 [14] Let $\{\hat{A}_i : i \in I$ and \hat{A}_i are \mathcal{NS} in $X\}$ be any arbitrary collection, therefore:

- 1. $\cup \hat{A}_i$ could be characterized in the following way:
 - i. $\cup \hat{A}_i = (\vee_{i \in I} x_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \wedge_{i \in I} \lambda_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \wedge_{i \in I} \tau_{\hat{A}_i}(x))$
 - ii. $\cup \hat{A}_i = (\vee_{i \in I} x_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \vee_{i \in I} \lambda_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \wedge_{i \in I} \tau_{\hat{A}_i}(x)).$
- 2. $\cap \hat{A}_i$ could be characterized in the following way:
 - i. $\cap \hat{A}_i = (\wedge_{i \in I} x_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \vee_{i \in I} \lambda_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \vee_{i \in I} \tau_{\hat{A}_i}(x))$
 - ii. $\cap \hat{A}_i = (\wedge_{i \in I} x_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \wedge_{i \in I} \lambda_{\hat{A}_i}(x), \vee_{i \in I} \tau_{\hat{A}_i}(x)).$

Definition 2.9 [3] Suppose that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the collection of neutrosophic subset of X . A $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is said to be a neutrosophic topology (\mathcal{NT}) when:

- 1. $0_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{N}}$ belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$
- 2. For every two \mathcal{NS} 's $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $\hat{A}_1 \cap \hat{A}_2$ belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$
- 3. For every family of \mathcal{NS} 's $\{\hat{A}_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $\cup \hat{A}_i$ belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$.



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم التطبيقية والتطبيقات في علوم الحياة والبيئة والعلوم الإنسانية

Thus the neutrosophic topological space (\mathcal{NTS}) over X is represented by (X, \mathcal{T}_N) . We refer to the components of \mathcal{T}_N as neutrosophic open (\mathcal{NO}) sets. And a neutrosophic set \hat{A} is closed (\mathcal{NC}) if the complement \hat{A}^c is a neutrosophic open.

Definition 2.10 [1] The neutrosophic interior and closure of a \mathcal{NS} \hat{A} in a \mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_N) are determined as:

$$\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}) = \bigcup_{K \subseteq \hat{A}} K, \text{ where } K \text{ is a } \mathcal{NOS} \text{ in } X$$

$$\mathcal{Ncl}(\hat{A}) = \bigcap_{\hat{A} \subseteq K} K, \text{ where } K \text{ is a } \mathcal{NCS} \text{ in } X.$$

Definition 2.11 [6] Suppose that \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NS} on X , and (X, \mathcal{T}_N) is a \mathcal{NTS} on X . Then a \mathcal{NS} \hat{A} is neutrosophic pre-open set (\mathcal{NPOS}), neutrosophic semi-open set (\mathcal{NSOS}), neutrosophic regular-open set (\mathcal{NROS}), neutrosophic δ -open set ($\mathcal{N}\delta OS$), neutrosophic α -open set ($\mathcal{N}\alpha OS$), neutrosophic β -open set ($\mathcal{N}\beta OS$) if and only if $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Nint}(\mathcal{Ncl}(\hat{A}))$, $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}))$, $\hat{A} = \mathcal{Nint}(\mathcal{Ncl}(\hat{A}))$, $\hat{A} = \mathcal{N}\delta int(\hat{A}) = \bigcup_{K \subseteq \hat{A}} K$, where K is a \mathcal{NROS} in X . [5] $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Nint}(\mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A})))$, $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{Nint}(\mathcal{Ncl}(\hat{A})))$, respectively.

And the complement of a \mathcal{NPOS} , \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha OS$, \mathcal{NROS} , $\mathcal{N}\delta OS$ & $\mathcal{N}\beta OS$ is considered as a neutrosophic pre-closed set, semi-closed set, α -closed set, regular-closed set, δ -closed set & β -closed set (\mathcal{NPCS} , \mathcal{NSCS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha CS$, $\mathcal{NRC S}$, $\mathcal{N}\delta CS$ & $\mathcal{N}\beta CS$, for short) in X , respectively.

The Family members of each \mathcal{NPOS} , \mathcal{NPCS} , \mathcal{NSOS} , \mathcal{NSCS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha OS$, $\mathcal{N}\alpha CS$, \mathcal{NROS} , $\mathcal{NRC S}$, $\mathcal{N}\delta OS$, $\mathcal{N}\delta CS$, $\mathcal{N}\beta OS$ & $\mathcal{N}\beta CS$ of X , is indicated as $\mathcal{NPOS}(X)$, $\mathcal{NPCS}(X)$, $\mathcal{NSOS}(X)$, $\mathcal{NSCS}(X)$, $\mathcal{N}\alpha OS(X)$, $\mathcal{N}\alpha CS(X)$, $\mathcal{NROS}(X)$, $\mathcal{NRC S}(X)$, $\mathcal{N}\delta OS(X)$, $\mathcal{N}\delta CS(X)$, $\mathcal{N}\beta OS(X)$ & $\mathcal{N}\beta CS(X)$, respectively.

Definition 2.12 [7] Suppose that X is a set that is not empty. The neutrosophic set $P = \{ \langle x, x_p(x), \lambda_p(x), \tau_p(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ is referred to as a neutrosophic point (\mathcal{NP} , for short) in X , if and only if for each y belong to X , and $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{n}$ are truly standards or nonstandard subset of $] -0, 1^+ [$, $x_p(y) = 0$, $\lambda_p(y) = 1$, $\tau_p(y) = 1$ for $x \neq y$, and $x_p(y) = \mathfrak{b}$, $\lambda_p(y) = \mathfrak{t}$, $\tau_p(y) = \mathfrak{n}$ for $x = y$. Where $0 < \mathfrak{b} \leq 1, 0 \leq \mathfrak{t} < 1, 0 \leq \mathfrak{n} < 1$, the degree of membership value is \mathfrak{b} , the degree of uncertainty value is \mathfrak{t} and the degree of non-membership value \mathfrak{n} . A neutrosophic point will denoted as $x_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{n}}$ or $P = \langle x, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{n} \rangle$. And $x \in X$ is referred to as the support of $x_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{n}}$.

Definition 2.13 [7] Suppose that X is any set that is not empty. A neutrosophic set $\hat{A} = \{ \langle x, x_{\hat{A}}(x), \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x), \tau_{\hat{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ ($P \in \hat{A}$) will be considered to include a neutrosophic point $P = \langle x, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{n} \rangle$ specified on X , if $\mathfrak{b} \leq x_{\hat{A}}(x)$, $\mathfrak{t} \geq \lambda_{\hat{A}}(x)$ and $\mathfrak{n} \geq \tau_{\hat{A}}(x)$.

Definition 2.14 [4] Let (X, \mathcal{T}_N) and (Y, σ_N) be two \mathcal{NTS} 's. If the inverse image of every neutrosophic open set in (Y, σ_N) is a neutrosophic open set in (X, \mathcal{T}_N) , then the function



$f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is said to be a neutrosophic continuous (\mathcal{N} -continuous, for short) function.

Definition 8.1.2 [21] Suppose that $P = \langle x, l, t, n \rangle$ is a neutrosophic point of a (X, \mathcal{T}_N) . A neutrosophic set \hat{A} of X is said to be a neutrosophic neighborhood of $P = \langle x, l, t, n \rangle$ if there exist a neutrosophic open set \mathcal{D} such that $\langle x, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \hat{A}$.

3 NEUTROSOPHIC SOMEWHAT OPEN SET

The notion of a neutrosophic somewhat open set we introduces in this section and as well as it is characteristics are described.

Definition 3.1 In $NTS (X, \mathcal{T}_N)$ a $NS \hat{A}$ with 0_N is said to be a neutrosophic somewhat open set (NS_wOS , for short) if $\mathcal{N}int(\hat{A}) \neq 0_N$. And the family of all NS_wOS 's in X is represented as $NS_wOS(X)$ or $NS_wOS(X, \mathcal{T}_N)$. A neutrosophic S_w -closed set is the complement of a neutrosophic S_w -open set, and $NS_wCS(X, \mathcal{T}_N)$ or $NS_wCS(X)$ is a collection that includes all neutrosophic S_w -closed sets in X .

Example 3.1 Suppose that $X = \{l, m, n\}$, and describe NS 's \hat{A}_1 & \hat{A}_2 in X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.3, 0.5, 0.7), (0.4, 0.5, 0.8), (0.5, 0.5, 0.7) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.2, 0.5, 0.9), (0.2, 0.5, 0.9), (0.4, 0.5, 0.7) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Were $\mathcal{T}_N = \{0_N, \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, 1_N\}$, then (X, \mathcal{T}_N) is a NTS , now $0_N, \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2$ and 1_N are NS_wOS 's.

Proposition 3.1 Let (X, \mathcal{T}_N) be a neutrosophic topological space, then the following statements are true.

1. Any NOS is a NS_wOS , and any NCS is a NS_wCS .
2. Any $NROS$ is a NS_wOS , and any $NRCS$ is a NS_wCS .
3. Any $NSOS$ is a NS_wOS , and any $NSCS$ is a NS_wCS .
4. Any $N\alpha OS$ is a NS_wOS , and any $N\alpha CS$ is a NS_wCS .
5. Any $N\delta OS$ is a NS_wOS , and any $N\delta CS$ is a NS_wCS .

Proof.

1. Let \hat{A} be a NOS , then $\hat{A} = \mathcal{N}int(\hat{A})$. Therefore, \hat{A} is a NS_wOS .
2. Let \hat{A} be a $NROS$, then $\hat{A} = \mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{N}cl(\hat{A}))$. As every $NROS$ is NOS so by (1) in Proposition (3.1) \hat{A} is a NS_wOS .



3. Let \hat{A} be a \mathcal{NSOS} , thus $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}))$. If $\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $\mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A})) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$ so we get contradiction as \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NSOS} , therefore must $\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}$, hence \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS .
4. Let \hat{A} be a $\mathcal{N}\alpha OS$, then $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Nint}(\mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A})))$. As every $\mathcal{N}\alpha OS$ is \mathcal{NSOS} so by (3) in Proposition (3.1) \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS .
5. Let \hat{A} be a $\mathcal{N}\delta OS$, then $\hat{A} = \mathcal{N}\delta int(\hat{A}) = \bigcup_{K \in \hat{A}} K$, where K is a \mathcal{NROS} in \mathcal{X} . So by (2) in Proposition (3.1) and axiom (3) in definition of \mathcal{NTS} , we get \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS . \square

The example that follows demonstrates that the reverse is untrue in Proposition (3.1).

Example 3.2 i. Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{l\}$, and describe \mathcal{NS} 's \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 & \hat{A}_3 in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$\hat{A}_1 = \langle (0.5, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle, \hat{A}_2 = \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.3) \rangle, \hat{A}_3 = \langle (0.5, 0.1, 0.3) \rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_3, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and as $\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}_2) = \hat{A}_1$, then \hat{A}_2 is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS , and it is not a \mathcal{NOS} since $\hat{A}_2 \notin \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$, also not a \mathcal{NROS} (resp. $\mathcal{N}\delta OS$).

ii. Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{l\}$, and describe \mathcal{NS} 's \hat{A}_1 & \hat{A}_2 in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$\hat{A}_1 = \langle (0.5, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle, \hat{A}_2 = \langle (0.6, 0.4, 0.4) \rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and \hat{A}_2 is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS but not $\mathcal{NSOS}, \mathcal{N}\alpha OS$.

Lemma 3.1 Every $\mathcal{N}\beta OS$ (resp. \mathcal{NPOS}) is not a \mathcal{NS}_wOS , and every \mathcal{NS}_wOS is not a $\mathcal{N}\beta OS$ (resp. \mathcal{NPOS}).

Example 3.3 i. Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{l\}$, and describe \mathcal{NS} 's \hat{A}_1 & \hat{A}_2 in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$\hat{A}_1 = \langle (0.5, 0.3, 0.4) \rangle, \hat{A}_2 = \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.5) \rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_2, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and \hat{A}_1 is a $\mathcal{N}\beta OS$ (resp. \mathcal{NPOS}) is not a \mathcal{NS}_wOS .

ii. Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{l\}$, and describe \mathcal{NS} 's \hat{A}_1 & \hat{A}_2 in \mathcal{X} as follows:



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم والتكنولوجيا | Journal of Babylon University for Pure and Applied Sciences

$$\hat{A}_1 = \langle (0.4, 0.6, 0.6) \rangle, \hat{A}_2 = \langle (0.5, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and \hat{A}_2 is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ but not \mathcal{NPOS} .

iii. Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{l\}$, and describe \mathcal{NS} 's $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3$ & \hat{A}_4 in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.4, 0.6, 0.6) \rangle, \hat{A}_3 = \langle (0.4, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.6, 0.4, 0.4) \rangle, \hat{A}_4 = \langle (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_3, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and \hat{A}_2 is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, but not a $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$.

Proposition 3.2 The union any pair of $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ is also a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$.

Proof. Assume that the two $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$'s \hat{A} and \hat{C} , and then $\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}$ & $\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{C}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}$, therefor $\mathcal{Nint}(\hat{A} \cup \hat{C}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}$. Thus $\hat{A} \cup \hat{C}$ is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$. \square

But the intersection not need to be $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ (resp. $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{CS}$). And we will show it by the following example.

Example 3.4 Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{l, m\}$, and we describe \mathcal{NS} 's $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, \hat{A}_5$ and \hat{A}_6 of \mathcal{X} by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.5, 0.8, 0.8), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_3 &= \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.8, 0.8) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_4 &= \langle (0.6, 0.2, 0.2), (0.6, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_5 &= \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.2), (0.6, 0.6, 0.6) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_6 &= \langle (0.6, 0.6, 0.6), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, 0_{\mathcal{N}}, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , but $\hat{A}_6 \cap \hat{A}_5$ is not a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ (resp. $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{CS}$).

Remark 3.1 Suppose that \hat{A} and \hat{C} are any two \mathcal{NS} 's in $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, if \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NPOS} (resp. $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$) and \hat{C} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, then $(\hat{A} \cup \hat{C})$ is not a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$. In Example 3.3 (i) \hat{A}_1 is a \mathcal{NPOS} (resp. $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$) and $0_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, but $(\hat{A}_1 \cup 0_{\mathcal{N}})$ is not a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$.

Corollary 3.1 Suppose that \hat{A} and \hat{C} are any two \mathcal{NS} 's in $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, if \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and $\mathcal{N}\delta\mathcal{OS}$) and \hat{C} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ then $(\hat{A} \cup \hat{C})$ is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$.

Proof. Let \hat{A} be a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and $\mathcal{N}\delta\mathcal{OS}$) and \hat{C} be a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ then by using Proposition (3.1) \hat{A} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, and by Proposition (3.2) $(\hat{A} \cup \hat{C})$ is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$. \square



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم التطبيقية والتطبيقات في العلوم الطبيعية والعلوم الإنسانية

Lemma 3.2 i. Suppose that \hat{A} and \hat{C} are any two \mathcal{NS} 's in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, if \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} , \mathcal{NPOS} , $\mathcal{N}\delta\mathcal{OS}$ and $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$), and \hat{C} is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ then $(\hat{A} \cap \hat{C})$ is not a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$. For examples:

(a) Suppose that $X = \{l\}$, and describe \mathcal{NS} 's \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 & \hat{A}_3 in X as follows:

$$\hat{A}_1 = \langle (0.5, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle, \hat{A}_2 = \langle (0.6, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle, \hat{A}_3 = \langle (0.4, 0.4, 0.4) \rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and \hat{A}_3 is a $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$ (resp. \mathcal{NPOS}), and \hat{A}_2 is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, but $\hat{A}_1 \cap \hat{A}_2$ is not a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$.

(b) In Example (3.4) \hat{A}_3 is a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$), and \hat{A}_6 is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, but $\hat{A}_3 \cap \hat{A}_6$ is not a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$.

ii. Let \hat{A} and \hat{C} be \mathcal{NS} 's in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, if \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and \mathcal{NSOS}), and \hat{C} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ then $\hat{A} \cap \hat{C}$ is not a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and $\mathcal{N}\delta\mathcal{OS}$). In Example (3.2) \hat{A}_1 is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ and $1_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and $\mathcal{N}\delta\mathcal{OS}$), but $(\hat{A}_1 \cap 1_{\mathcal{N}})$ is not a \mathcal{NOS} (resp. \mathcal{NSOS} , $\mathcal{N}\alpha\mathcal{OS}$, \mathcal{NROS} and $\mathcal{N}\delta\mathcal{OS}$).

iii. Let \hat{A} and \hat{C} be \mathcal{NS} 's in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, if \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NPOS} , and \hat{C} is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ then $(\hat{A} \cap \hat{C})$ is not a \mathcal{NPOS} . In Example 3.3 (ii) $1_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a \mathcal{NPOS} , and \hat{A}_2 is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, but $(1_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \hat{A}_2)$ is not a \mathcal{NPOS} .

4. Let \hat{A} and \hat{C} be \mathcal{NS} 's in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, if \hat{A} is a $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$, and \hat{C} is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ then $(\hat{A} \cap \hat{C})$ is not a $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$. In Example 3.3 (iii) $1_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$, and \hat{A}_2 is $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$, but $(1_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \hat{A}_2)$ is not a $\mathcal{N}\beta\mathcal{OS}$.

Proposition 3.3 The combination or union of arbitrary collection of $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$ is a $\mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS}$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

4 NEUTROSOPHIC S_w -INTERIOR IN \mathcal{NTSS}

The neutrosophic S_w -interior operator and its characteristics in neutrosophic topological space are delineated in the following part.

Definition 4.1 The neutrosophic S_w -interior of a neutrosophic set \hat{A} in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is defined as follow: (briefly. $\mathcal{NS}_w\text{int}(\hat{A})$)

$$\mathcal{NS}_w\text{int}(\hat{A}) = \bigcup_{K \subseteq \hat{A}} K, \text{ where } K \text{ is a } \mathcal{NS}_w\mathcal{OS} \text{ in } X.$$

Example 4.1 Suppose that $X = \{l, m, n\}$ and define \mathcal{NS} 's $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4$ & \hat{A}_5 in X as follows:



$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.1, 0.2, 0.7), (0.4, 0.3, 0.9), (0.8, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.5, 0.7, 0.9), (0.1, 0.3, 0.4), (0.3, 0.6, 0.8) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_3 &= \langle (0.9, 0.1, 0.3), (0.6, 0.2, 0.2), (0.9, 0.5, 0.3) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_4 &= \langle (0.2, 0.5, 0.9), (0.1, 0.5, 0.3), (0.4, 0.5, 0.6) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_5 &= \langle (0.6, 0.2, 0.7), (0.8, 0.3, 0.3), (0.8, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_1 \cap \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.1, 0.7, 0.9), (0.1, 0.3, 0.9), (0.3, 0.6, 0.8) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_1 \cup \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.7), (0.4, 0.3, 0.4), (0.8, 0.5, 0.5) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_1 \cap \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_1 \cup \hat{A}_2, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}_3) = \hat{A}_1 \cup \hat{A}_2$.

Proposition 4.1 The neutrosophic S_w -interior operator accomplishes:

1. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) \subseteq \hat{A}$.
2. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{C})$.
3. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$ is the greatest $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ contained in \hat{A} .
4. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$ if and only if \hat{A} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$.
5. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$.
6. $(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}))^c = \mathcal{NS}_w cl(\hat{A}^c)$.
7. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(0_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(1_{\mathcal{N}}) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$

Proof.

1. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) = \bigcup_{K \subseteq \hat{A}} K$, where K is a $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ in \mathcal{X} . Thus, $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) \subseteq \hat{A}$.
2. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{C}) = \bigcup \{K : K \text{ is a } \mathcal{NS}_w OS \text{ in } \mathcal{X} \text{ \& } K \subseteq \hat{C}\} \supseteq \bigcup \{K : K \text{ is a } \mathcal{NS}_w OS \text{ in } \mathcal{X}, K \subseteq \hat{A}\} \supseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$. Thus, $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{C})$.
3. Let K be any $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ which $K \subseteq \hat{A}$, then $K \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$. Hence, $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$ is the greatest $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ contained in \hat{A} .
4. Suppose that \hat{A} is any $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ of \mathcal{X} . Then the greatest $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ containing \hat{A} is itself. Therefore, $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$.
5. By (4), the greatest $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ containing $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$ is itself. Hence, $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$.
6. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A})$ is the greatest $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ contained in \hat{A} . The complement is the smallest $\mathcal{NS}_w CS$ containing \hat{A}^c . Therefore, $(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}))^c = \mathcal{NS}_w cl(\hat{A}^c)$.



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم والتقنية

7. $NS_wint(0_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $NS_wint(1_{\mathcal{N}}) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$ are obvious by (4) and definition. \square

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} . Next, we have the following for any neutrosophic subset \mathfrak{D} and K of a \mathcal{NTS} .

1. $NS_wint(\mathfrak{D} \cap K) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D}) \cap NS_wint(K)$
2. $NS_wint(\mathfrak{D} \cup K) \supseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D}) \cup NS_wint(K)$.

Proof.

1. Assume that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq (\mathfrak{D} \cap K)$, then $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq K$, by using Proposition 4.1 (2), then $NS_wint(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D})$ and $NS_wint(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq NS_wint(K)$. Thus, $NS_wint(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D}) \cap NS_wint(K)$. Therefore, $NS_wint(\mathfrak{D} \cap K) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D}) \cap NS_wint(K)$.
2. As $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D} \cup K$ and $K \subseteq \mathfrak{D} \cup K$, by using Proposition 4.1 (2), $NS_wint(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$ and $NS_wint(K) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$. Therefore, $NS_wint(\mathfrak{D}) \cup NS_wint(K) \subseteq NS_wint(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$. \square

The example that follows demonstrates the fact that equality does not have to be preserved in Theorem (4.1).

Example 4.2 Suppose that $X = \{l, m\}$ and define in X , the \mathcal{NS} 's $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, \hat{A}_5$ & \hat{A}_6 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.5, 0.8, 0.8), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_3 &= \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.8, 0.8) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_4 &= \langle (0.6, 0.2, 0.2), (0.6, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_5 &= \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.2), (0.6, 0.6, 0.6) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_6 &= \langle (0.6, 0.6, 0.6), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, 0_{\mathcal{N}}, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and $NS_wint(\hat{A}_6) \cup NS_wint(\hat{A}_5) = \hat{A}_2$, $NS_wint(\hat{A}_6 \cup \hat{A}_5) = \hat{A}_4$ thus, $NS_wint(\hat{A} \cup \hat{C}) \neq NS_wint(\hat{A}) \cup NS_wint(\hat{C})$. And $NS_wint(\hat{A}_6) \cap NS_wint(\hat{A}_5) = \langle (0.2, 0.8, 0.8), (0.2, 0.8, 0.8) \rangle$, $NS_wint(\hat{A}_6 \cap \hat{A}_5) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$ thus $NS_wint(\hat{A} \cap \hat{C}) \neq NS_wint(\hat{A}) \cap NS_wint(\hat{C})$.



5 NEUTROSOPHIC S_w -DRIVEN SET IN $NTSS$

The neutrosophic S_w -driven operator and its characteristics in neutrosophic topological space are delineated in the following section.

Definition 5.1 A $NP \langle x, l, t, n \rangle$ is a neutrosophic S_w -limit point of a neutrosophic set \hat{A} . If each one of \mathcal{NS}_wO set \mathcal{L} which includes $P = \langle x, l, t, n \rangle$, then $\mathcal{L} \cap (\hat{A} - \{\langle x, l, t, n \rangle\}) \neq 0_N$. And the neutrosophic S_w -driven set of \hat{A} , represented as $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\hat{A})$ is the collection of every neutrosophic S_w -limit points of \hat{A} .

Example 5.1 Suppose that $X = \{l, m\}$ and define neutrosophic sets $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3$ & \hat{A}_4 in X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.5, 0.8, 0.8), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_3 &= \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.8, 0.8) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_4 &= \langle (0.6, 0.2, 0.2), (0.6, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_N = \{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, 0_N, 1_N\}$, then (X, \mathcal{T}_N) is a NTS , and $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\hat{A}_1) = 1_N$

Proposition 5.1 Let (X, \mathcal{T}_N) be a NTS , then For pair of \mathcal{NS} 's \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{K} of (X, \mathcal{T}_N) the following claims are true:

1. $\mathcal{NS}_w d(0_N) = 0_N, \mathcal{NS}_w d(1_N) = 1_N$.
2. $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}d(\mathfrak{D})$, where $\mathcal{N}d(\mathfrak{D})$ is neutrosophic driven set of \hat{A} .
3. If $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$, then $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{K})$.
4. $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K}) = \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{K})$.
5. $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{K})$.

Proof.

1. Obvious.
2. It suffices to observe that every \mathcal{NOS} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$.
3. Let $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ and $P = \langle x, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D})$, then for each $\mathcal{NS}_w OS \mathcal{L}$ of $P = \langle x, l, t, n \rangle, \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathfrak{D} - \{\langle x, l, t, n \rangle\}) \neq 0_N$. As $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{K} \therefore \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathfrak{K} - \{\langle x, l, t, n \rangle\}) \neq 0_N$, so $\langle x, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{K})$.



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم التطبيقية والنظرية
 Journal of Babylon University for Applied and Theoretical Sciences
 ISSN: 2312-8135 | Print ISSN: 1992-0652

4. Let $P = \langle X, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$, then for each $\mathcal{NS}_w OS \mathcal{L}$ of $P = \langle X, l, t, n \rangle$, $\mathcal{L} \cap ((\mathfrak{D} \cup K) - \{\langle X, l, t, n \rangle\}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}$, so $\mathcal{NS}_w OS \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathfrak{D} - \{\langle X, l, t, n \rangle\}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}} \cup \mathcal{L} \cap (K - \{\langle X, l, t, n \rangle\}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}$, there for $P = \langle X, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D})$ or $P = \langle X, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_w d(K)$. Thus $P = \langle X, l, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w d(K)$. And as $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq (\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$, $K \subseteq (\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$, then by using (2) in Proposition (5.1) $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$ and $\mathcal{NS}_w d(K) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$. Thus $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w d(K) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cup K)$.
5. As $\mathfrak{D} \cap K \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{D} \cap K \subseteq K$, by using (2) in Proposition (5.1) $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cap K) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D})$ and $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cap K) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(K) \therefore \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cap K) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w d(K)$. \square

The example that follows demonstrates that the equality of (5) does not have to be preserved in Proposition (5.1).

Example 5.2 Suppose that $X = \{l, m\}$, and define neutrosophic sets \hat{A}_1 & \hat{A}_2 in X as follows:

$$\hat{A}_1 = \langle (1.0, 0.0, 0.0), (0.0, 1.0, 1.0) \rangle, \hat{A}_2 = \langle (0.0, 1.0, 1.0), (1.0, 0.0, 0.0) \rangle$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{0_{\mathcal{N}}, \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , now $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\hat{A}_1 \cap \hat{A}_2) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{NS}_w d(\hat{A}) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$, hence $\mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D} \cap K) \neq \mathcal{NS}_w d(\mathfrak{D}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w d(K)$.

6 NEUTROSOPHIC S_w -CLOSURE IN \mathcal{NTSS}

The neutrosophic S_w -closure operator and its characteristics in neutrosophic topological space are delineated in this part.

Definition 6.1 The neutrosophic S_w -closure of a neutrosophic set \hat{A} in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is defined as: (briefly. $\mathcal{NS}_w cl(\hat{A})$)

$$\mathcal{NS}_w cl(\hat{A}) = \bigcap_{\hat{A} \subseteq K} K, \text{ where } K \text{ is a } \mathcal{NS}_w C \text{ in } X.$$

Example 6.1 Suppose that $X = \{l, m\}$, and define neutrosophic sets $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3$ & \hat{A}_4 in X as follows:



$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.5, 0.8, 0.8), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.5, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_3 &= \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.8, 0.8) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_4 &= \langle (0.6, 0.2, 0.2), (0.6, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, 0_{\mathcal{N}}, 1_{\mathcal{N}}\}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} , and $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) = \langle (0.8, 0.2, 0.5), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle$, $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_2) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proposition 6.1 If \hat{A} is a neutrosophic set in $\mathcal{NTS}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$, then $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) = \mathcal{NS}_wd(\hat{A}) \cup \hat{A}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{NS}_wd(\hat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$, then $\hat{A} \cup \mathcal{NS}_wd(\hat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$. On the other hand, let $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$. If $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \hat{A}$, thus proof is done. If $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle \notin \hat{A}$, each $\mathcal{NS}_wOS \mathcal{L}$ containing $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle$ intersects \hat{A} at a point distinct from $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle$, so $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{NS}_wd(\hat{A})$. Thus $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) \subseteq \hat{A} \cup \mathcal{NS}_wd(\hat{A})$. \square

Proposition 6.2 The neutrosophic S_w -closure operator satisfies:

1. $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$.
2. $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{K})$.
3. The smallest \mathcal{NS}_wCS that contains \hat{A} is $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$.
4. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$ if and only if \hat{A} is a \mathcal{NS}_wCS .
5. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$.
6. $(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}))^c = \mathcal{NS}_wint(\hat{A}^c)$.
7. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(0_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(1_{\mathcal{N}}) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof.

1. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) = \bigcap_{\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} is a \mathcal{NS}_wCS in \mathcal{X} . Thus, $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$.
2. Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow \mathcal{NS}_wd(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wd(\mathcal{K})$. Thus $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{NS}_wd(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{NS}_wd(\mathcal{K}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{K})$, by Proposition (6.1).
3. If \mathcal{K} is any \mathcal{NS}_wCS containing \hat{A} , then $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Hence $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$ is the smallest \mathcal{NS}_wCO containing \hat{A} .
4. Suppose \hat{A} is any \mathcal{NS}_wCS of \mathcal{X} . Then the smallest \mathcal{NS}_wCS contained in \hat{A} is itself. Therefore, $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}) = \hat{A}$.



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم التطبيقية والتكنولوجيا

5. By (4), the smallest \mathcal{NS}_wCS contained in $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$ is itself. Hence, $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$.
6. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A})$ is the smallest \mathcal{NS}_wCS containing \hat{A} . The complement is the greatest \mathcal{NS}_wOS contained in \hat{A}^c . Therefore, $(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}))^c = \mathcal{NS}_wint(\hat{A}^c)$.
7. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(0_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(1_{\mathcal{N}}) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$ are obvious by (4) and definition. \square

Theorem 6.1 Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a \mathcal{NTS} . Next, we have the following for each of the neutrosophic subsets \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{K} of a \mathcal{NTS} .

1. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K})$
2. $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K})$.

Proof.

1. As $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$, then by using Proposition 6.1 (2) $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}), \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K})$. Therefore $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K})$.
2. As $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K}$, by using Proposition 6.1 (2), $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K})$ and $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K})$. Therefore $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K})$. \square

The example that follows demonstrates that equality does not have to be preserved in Theorem (6.1).

Example 6.2 In Example (6.1) $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_1) \cup \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_3) = \langle (0.8, 0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle$, $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_1 \cup \hat{A}_3) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$ thus $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{K}) \neq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K})$. And $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_1) \cap \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_3) = \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.5), (0.2, 0.2, 0.5) \rangle$, $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\hat{A}_1 \cap \hat{A}_3) = \langle (0.2, 0.8, 0.6), (0.2, 0.8, 0.6) \rangle$, thus $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \neq \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{D}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathfrak{K})$.

7 NEUTROSOPHIC S_w -FRONTIER IN \mathcal{NTSS}

The neutrosophic S_w -frontier operator and its characteristics in neutrosophic topological space are presented in this part.

Definition 7.1 The neutrosophic S_w -frontier of a neutrosophic set A_i in $\mathcal{NTS} (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is $\mathcal{NS}_wfr(A) = \mathcal{NS}_wcl(A) \cap \mathcal{NS}_wcl(A_i^c)$. ($\mathcal{NS}_wfr(A)$, for short).

Example 7.1 In Example (6.1) $\mathcal{NS}_wfr(\hat{A}_1) = \langle (0.8, 0.2, 0.5), (0.8, 0.2, 0.5) \rangle = \mathcal{NS}_wCS(\hat{A}_1^c)$ and $\mathcal{NS}_wfr(\hat{A}_2) = \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.5), (0.2, 0.2, 0.5) \rangle$.



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم التطبيقية وعلوم الحياة والبيئة
 Journal of Babylon University for Applied Sciences, Life and Environmental Sciences

Proposition 7.1 The neutrosophic S_w -frontier operator satisfies the following in $\mathcal{NTS}(X, \mathcal{T}_N)$.

1. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A})$.
2. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}^c)$.
3. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$ is a $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{CS}$.
4. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$.
5. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$.
6. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(0_N) = 0_N, \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(1_N) = 0_N$.

Proof.

1. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}) \subseteq \acute{A} \cup (\mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c)) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A})$.
2. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}^c)$.
3. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c)) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A})) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c)) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$. Thus by (4) $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$ is $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{CS}$.
4. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}))^c \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$.
5. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A})) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A})) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}))^c = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A})) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}^c) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$.
6. $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(0_N) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(0_N) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(0_N^c) = 0_N, \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(1_N) = \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(1_N) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(1_N^c) = 0_N$. \square

The example that follows demonstrates that the equality of (1, 4 and 5) need not be hold in Proposition (7.1).

Example 7.2 In Example (6.1) $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}_1) = \cup \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}_1) = \acute{A}_1$, but $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}_1) = \langle (0.8, 0.2, 0.5), (0.2, 0.2, 0.2) \rangle = \acute{A}_1^c$. Thus $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{cl}(\acute{A}) \neq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}) \cup \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$. And in Example (4.2) $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A}_1)) = \acute{A}_1$, but $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A}_6) = \acute{A}_1^c$. Thus $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\acute{A})) \neq \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\acute{A})$.



Lemma 7.1 If (X, \mathcal{T}_N) is a \mathcal{NTS} , and for any neutrosophic subset \hat{A} of a \mathcal{NTS} . Then $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\hat{A}) \neq 0_N$. In Example (6.1) $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{fr}(\hat{A}_4) = \langle (0.2, 0.2, 0.5), (0.2, 0.2, 0.5) \rangle$, and $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{int}(\hat{A}_4) = \hat{A}_4$.

8 NEUTROSOPHIC CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

8.1 Neutrosophic S_w -Continuous Functions

The notion of a neutrosophic S_w -continuous function in neutrosophic topological spaces is presented in this part. And, we examine several of the main results depending on neutrosophic S_w -open sets.

Definition 8.1.1 If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is a function. Then $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is said to be a neutrosophic S_w -continuous (\mathcal{NS}_w -continuous, for short) function if $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is a $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{OS}$ in X for each \mathcal{NOS} \mathcal{V} in Y .

Example 8.1.1 Let $X = Y = \{l, m\}$ and define \mathcal{NS} 's as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.2, 0.5, 0.6), (0.3, 0.5, 0.4) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.3, 0.5, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5, 0.6) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathcal{T}_N = \{0_N, \hat{A}_1, 1_N\}$ is neutrosophic topology on X and $\sigma_N = \{0_N, \hat{A}_2, 1_N\}$ is neutrosophic topology on Y , then (X, \mathcal{T}_N) and (Y, σ_N) are \mathcal{NTS} 's. Also we define $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ as: $f(l) = m, f(m) = l$. As every \mathcal{NOS} in Y has an inverse image that is a $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{OS}$ in X . Thus f is a neutrosophic S_w -continuous function.

Theorem 8.1.1 All continuous functions that are neutrosophic are also neutrosophic S_w -continuous functions.

Proof. Assume that $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is a neutrosophic continuous function. Let \mathcal{V} be a neutrosophic open set in (Y, σ_N) . Thus we get $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is a \mathcal{NOS} in (X, \mathcal{T}_N) . Since each \mathcal{NOS} is a $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{OS}$, so $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is $\mathcal{NS}_w \text{OS}$ in (X, \mathcal{T}_N) . Therefore, f is \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. \square

The example that follows demonstrates that Theorem (8.1.1)'s opposite is untrue.



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم الفيزيائية والتطبيقات
 Journal of Babylon University for Physical and Applied Sciences

Example 8.1.2 Let $X = Y = \{l, m\}$ and define \mathcal{NS} 's as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_1 &= \langle (0.2, 0.5, 0.6), (0.2, 0.5, 0.4) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_2 &= \langle (0.2, 0.4, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5, 0.4) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_3 &= \langle (0.2, 0.5, 0.4), (0.2, 0.4, 0.4) \rangle \\ \hat{A}_4 &= \langle (0.2, 0.5, 0.4), (0.2, 0.5, 0.6) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathcal{T}_X = \{0_X, \hat{A}_1, 1_X\}$ is neutrosophic topology on X and $\sigma_Y = \{0_Y, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4, 1_Y\}$ is neutrosophic topology on Y , then (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, σ_Y) are \mathcal{NTS} 's. Also we define $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_Y)$ as: $f(l) = m, f(m) = l$. And f is not a neutrosophic continuous function and it is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function.

Theorem 8.1.2 Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_Y)$ be neutrosophic S_w -continuous function and $g: (Y, \sigma_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_Z)$ be a neutrosophic continuous function. Then $g \circ f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_Z)$ is a neutrosophic S_w -continuous function.

Proof. Assume that K is a \mathcal{NOS} in Z . As $g: (Y, \sigma_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_Z)$ is a neutrosophic continuous, $g^{-1}(K)$ is a neutrosophic open set in Y . So $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(K))$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X , as f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. However $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(K)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(K)$. Then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(K)$ is \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . Therefore, $g \circ f$ is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. \square

Corollary 8.1.1 Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, σ_Y) represent two neutrosophic topological spaces. Then prove that a function $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_Y)$ is neutrosophic S_w -continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(K)$ is \mathcal{NS}_wCS in X for each \mathcal{NCS} K in Y .

Proof. Assume that K is a \mathcal{NCS} in Y . Then K^c is a \mathcal{NOS} in Y . As f is \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous. Thus $f^{-1}(K^c)$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . As $f^{-1}(K^c) = [f^{-1}(K)]^c$ so $f^{-1}(K)$ is \mathcal{NS}_wCS in X . Conversely, Assume that K is a \mathcal{NOS} in Y . Then K^c is a \mathcal{NCS} in Y . Via assumptions, $f^{-1}(K^c)$ is \mathcal{NS}_wCS in X . As $f^{-1}(K^c) = [f^{-1}(K)]^c$ so $f^{-1}(K)$ is \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . Therefore, f is \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous. \square

Proposition 8.1.1 Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_Y)$ be a function. Then f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous Function if and only if $f(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V}))$ for each \mathcal{NS} \mathcal{V} in X .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{V} is a \mathcal{NS} in X and f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. Then evidently $f(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V}))$. Now, $\mathcal{V} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V})))$ and $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_wcl[f^{-1}(\mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V})))]$. As f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function and $f^{-1}(\mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V})))$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wCS . Thus $\mathcal{NS}_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V})))) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V})))$. Hence, $f(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V}))$. Conversely, let $f(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{Ncl}(f(\mathcal{V}))$, for all \mathcal{NS} \mathcal{V} in X , and \mathcal{L} be a \mathcal{NCS} in Y . Then $\mathcal{Ncl}(f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))) = \mathcal{Ncl}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$. Via assumptions, $f(\mathcal{NS}_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))) \subseteq$



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم الفيزيائية والتطبيقات
 Journal of Babylon University for Pure and Applied Sciences
 ISSN: 2312-8135 | Print ISSN: 1992-0652

$\mathcal{N}cl(f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and hence $\mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. Since $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$ so $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$. This indicates that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $\mathcal{N}S_wCS$ in \mathfrak{X} . Thus by Corollary (8.1.1), f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function. \square

Proposition 8.1.2 Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{N}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{Y}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{N}})$ is a function. Then f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function if and only if $\mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$ for every $\mathcal{N}S$ \mathcal{L} in \mathfrak{Y} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{L} is any $\mathcal{N}S$ in \mathfrak{Y} and f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function. Clearly $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$. Then, $\mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L})))$. Since $\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L})$ is a $\mathcal{N}CS$ in \mathfrak{Y} . So by Corollary (8.1.1), $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$ is a $\mathcal{N}S_wCS$ in \mathfrak{X} . Thus, $\mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$. Conversely, let $\mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$ for every $\mathcal{N}S$ \mathcal{L} in \mathfrak{Y} , and \mathcal{L} be a $\mathcal{N}CS$ in \mathfrak{Y} . And by assumption, $\mathcal{N}S_wcl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L})) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. This show that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $\mathcal{N}S_wCS$ in \mathfrak{X} . Thus by Corollary (8.1.1), f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function. \square

Proposition 8.1.3 Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{N}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{Y}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{N}})$ be a bijective function. Then f is $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous if and only if $\mathcal{N}int(f(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq f(\mathcal{N}S_wint(\mathcal{V}))$ for each $\mathcal{N}S$ \mathcal{V} in \mathfrak{X} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{V} is any $\mathcal{N}S$ in \mathfrak{X} and f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous bijective. Let $f(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{L}$. Clearly $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. Since f is an injective mapping, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{V}$, so that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}))) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(\mathcal{V})$. Since f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous, so $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}))$ is $\mathcal{N}S_wOS$ in \mathfrak{X} and $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(\mathcal{V})$, $f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}))) \subseteq f(\mathcal{N}S_wint(\mathcal{V}))$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}int(f(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq f(\mathcal{N}S_wint(\mathcal{V}))$. Conversely, $\mathcal{N}int(f(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq f(\mathcal{N}S_wint(\mathcal{V}))$ for every neutrosophic set \mathcal{V} in \mathfrak{X} . Let \mathcal{L} be a $\mathcal{N}OS$ set in \mathfrak{Y} . As f is surjective and by assumption, $\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{N}int(f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))) \subseteq f(\mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})))$. It follows that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$. Hence, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $\mathcal{N}S_wOS$ in \mathfrak{X} . Therefore, f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function. \square

Proposition 8.1.4 Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{N}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{Y}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{N}})$ is a function. Then f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function if and only if $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$ for every $\mathcal{N}S$ \mathcal{L} in \mathfrak{Y} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{L} is any $\mathcal{N}S$ in \mathfrak{Y} and f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous mapping. Clearly $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ then $\mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}))) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$. As $\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})$ is $\mathcal{N}OS$ in \mathfrak{Y} and f is $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}))$ is $\mathcal{N}S_wOS$ in \mathfrak{X} . Hence $\mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L}))) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$. Conversely, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$ for all $\mathcal{N}S$ \mathcal{L} in \mathfrak{Y} . And \mathfrak{D} be any $\mathcal{N}OS$ in \mathfrak{Y} . Then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}int(\mathfrak{D})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}))$ and thus, $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}) = \mathcal{N}S_wint(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}))$. This implies that $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$ is $\mathcal{N}S_wOS$ in \mathfrak{X} . Hence f is a $\mathcal{N}S_w$ -continuous function. \square



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم الفيزيائية والتطبيقات
 Journal of Babylon University for Physical and Applied Sciences

ISSN: 2312-8135 | Print ISSN: 1992-0652
 info@journalofbabylon.com | jub@itnet.uobabylon.edu.iq | www.journalofbabylon.com

Proposition 8.1.5 Suppose that $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is a bijective function. Then f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function if and only if $f(\mathcal{NS}_w fr(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{NS}_w fr(f(\mathcal{V}))$ for each $\mathcal{NS} \mathcal{V}$ in X .

Proof. Assume that f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous bijective function, and let \mathcal{V} be a \mathcal{NS} in X . By Definition, $\mathcal{NS}_w fr(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{NS}_w cl(\mathcal{V}) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w cl(\mathcal{V}^c)$. And by Proposition (8.1.1), $f(\mathcal{NS}_w cl(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}cl(f(\mathcal{V}))$ then, $f(\mathcal{NS}_w fr(\mathcal{V})) = f(\mathcal{NS}_w cl(\mathcal{V})) \cap f(\mathcal{NS}_w cl(\mathcal{V}^c)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}cl(f(\mathcal{V})) \cap \mathcal{N}cl(f(\mathcal{V}^c)) = \mathcal{N}fr(f(\mathcal{V}))$. Conversely, $f(\mathcal{NS}_w fr(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}fr(f(\mathcal{V}))$ for each $\mathcal{NS} \mathcal{V}$ in X . Thus $f(\mathcal{NS}_w cl(\mathcal{V})) = f(\mathcal{NS}_w int(\mathcal{V})) \cup f(\mathcal{NS}_w fr(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq f(\mathcal{V}) \cup \mathcal{N}fr(f(\mathcal{V})) \subseteq \mathcal{N}cl(f(\mathcal{V}))$. Therefore, by Proposition (8.1.1), f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. \square

Proposition 8.1.6 Suppose that $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is a neutrosophic bijective function. Then f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function if and only if $\mathcal{NS}_w fr(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{L}))$ for each $\mathcal{NS} \mathcal{L}$ in Y .

Proof. Assume that f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous bijective function, and let \mathcal{L} be a \mathcal{NS} in Y . By Proposition (8.1.2), $\mathcal{NS}_w cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$. So $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{L})) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}^c)) = (\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L})) \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}^c)) \supseteq \mathcal{NS}_w cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^c)) = \mathcal{NS}_w cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \cap \mathcal{NS}_w cl[(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))^c] = \mathcal{NS}_w fr(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$. Thus, $\mathcal{NS}_w fr(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{L}))$. Conversely since $\mathcal{NS}_w fr(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}fr(\mathcal{L}))$ for all $\mathcal{NS} \mathcal{L}$ in Y . This follow that $\mathcal{NS}_w cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}cl(\mathcal{L}))$. Therefore, by Proposition (8.1.2) f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. \square

Theorem 8.1.3 Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ be a neutrosophic function, then following claims are equivalence.

1. f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function.
2. There exist a $\mathcal{NS}_w OS \mathcal{G}$ so that $P = \langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{G} \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{A})$. For any neutrosophic neighborhood \hat{A} of $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle)$, and any neutrosophic point $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in X$,
3. There exist a neutrosophic S_w -open Set \mathcal{G} in X such that $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \hat{A}$. For any neutrosophic point $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in X$, and any neutrosophic neighborhood \hat{A} of $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle)$.

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (2) : Assume that \hat{A} is a neutrosophic neighborhood of $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle)$, and $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle$ is a neutrosophic point in X . Then there exist a $\mathcal{NOS} \mathcal{G}$ in X , such as $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle) \in \mathcal{G} \subseteq \hat{A}$. As f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous, therefore it implies that is $f^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ is a $\mathcal{NS}_w OS$ in X and $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in f^{-1}(f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{A})$. This implies (2) is true.



(2) \rightarrow (3) : Assume that \hat{A} is a neutrosophic neighborhood of $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle)$, and $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle$ is a neutrosophic point in X . The criteria for (2) indicates that there exist a \mathcal{NS}_wOS \mathcal{G} in X such as $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{G} \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{A})$. Thus $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\hat{A}))$. Hence (3) is true.

(3) \rightarrow (1): Let \mathcal{L} be a \mathcal{NOS} in Y and let $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. As \mathcal{L} is a \mathcal{NOS} , $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle) \in \mathcal{L}$, and so \mathcal{L} is neutrosophic neighborhood of $f(\langle x, b, t, n \rangle)$. It follows from (3) that there exist a \mathcal{NS}_wOS \mathcal{G} in X such that $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ so that $\langle x, b, t, n \rangle \in \mathcal{G} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. Hence by definition $f^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X , and f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. \square

8.2 Neutrosophic S_w -Irresolute Functions

The notion of neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function in neutrosophic topological spaces is presented in this part. We also talk about connection with the \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function.

Definition 8.2.1 Let (X, \mathcal{T}_N) and (Y, σ_N) be two \mathcal{NTS} 's. Then the neutrosophic function $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is said to be a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute (\mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute, for short) function if the Inverse image of each neutrosophic S_w -open Set in (Y, σ_N) is a neutrosophic S_w -open set in (X, \mathcal{T}_N) .

Example 8.2.1 In Example (8.1.1), since The reverse of each \mathcal{NS}_wOS in Y is \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . Thus f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function.

Theorem 8.2.1 Neutrosophic S_w -irresolute functions are all \mathcal{N} -continuous functions.

Proof. Assume that $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ is a \mathcal{N} -continuous function, and \mathcal{V} is a \mathcal{NOS} in (Y, σ_N) . Thus $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is \mathcal{NOS} in (X, \mathcal{T}_N) . As each \mathcal{NOS} is \mathcal{NS}_wOS , so \mathcal{V} and $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ are both \mathcal{NS}_wOS 's. Hence, f is neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function. \square

The example that follows demonstrates that Theorem (8.2.1)'s opposite is untrue.

Example 8.2.2 In Example (8.1.2), f is not a \mathcal{N} -continuous function, and it is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function.

Corollary 8.2.1 Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ be a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function, then f is a neutrosophic S_w -continuous function.

Proof. Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ be a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function. And \mathcal{V} be a neutrosophic open set in (Y, σ_N) . As each \mathcal{NOS} is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS , \mathcal{V} is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS . And $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in (X, \mathcal{T}_N) , as f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function. Therefore, f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous function. \square



Theorem 8.2.2 Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ be a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function and $g: (Y, \sigma_N) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_N)$ be a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function. Then $gof: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_N)$ is a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function.

Proof. Assume that \mathfrak{D} is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in Z . As $g: (Y, \sigma_N) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_N)$ is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute, $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in Y . As also f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}))$ is \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . But $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})) = (gof)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$. Thus $(gof)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$ is \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . Therefore, gof is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function. \square

Theorem 8.2.3 Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_N)$ be a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function and $g: (Y, \sigma_N) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_N)$ be a neutrosophic S_w -continuous function. Then $gof: (X, \mathcal{T}_N) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_N)$ is a neutrosophic S_w -irresolute function.

Proof. Assume that \mathfrak{D} is a \mathcal{NOS} in Z . As $g: (Y, \sigma_N) \rightarrow (Z, \gamma_N)$ is a \mathcal{NS}_w -continuous, $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in Y . As f is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}))$ is \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . But $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})) = (gof)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$. Thus $(gof)^{-1}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a \mathcal{NS}_wOS in X . Therefore, gof is a \mathcal{NS}_w -irresolute function. \square

CONCLUSION

In this work, we have redefined and investigated \mathcal{NS}_wOS , a novel class of \mathcal{NOS} in neutrosophic topological spaces. After that, we discussed a several of its characteristics. Therefore, we anticipate that the results presented within the above data will facilitate improvement and advancing future research on neutrosophic topological spaces.

Conflict of interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] EBENANJAR, P. Evanzalin; IMMACULATE, H. Jude; WILFRED, C. Bazil. On neutrosophic b- open sets in neutrosophic topological space. In: Journal of Physics: Conference Series. IOP Publishing, 2018. p. 012062.
- [2] DAS, Suman; TRIPATHY, Binod Chandra. Neutrosophic simply b-open set in neutrosophic topological spaces. Iraqi Journal of Science, 2021, 4830-4838.
- [3] Salama, A, A.; Alblowi, S, A., Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic Topological spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 2012, 3, 31-35.
- [4] SALAMA, A. A. ALBLOWI, S. A. Generalized neutrosophic set and generalized neutrosophic topological spaces. Infinite Study, 2012.
- [5] İLKHAN, Merve. Types of Generalized δ -Open Sets in Bitopological Spaces. Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, 2019, 7.2: 344-351.



- [6] Arokiarani I, Dhavaseelan R, Jafari S and Parimala M 2017 On some newnotations and functions in neutrosophic topological Spaces *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 16 pp 16-19.
- [7] RAY, Gautam Chandra; DEY, Sudeep. Neutrosophic point and its neighbourhood structure. *Neutrosophic sets and Systems*, 2021, 43: 156-168.
- [8] Venkateswara Rao, V., Srinivasa Rao, Y., Neutrosophic pre-open sets and pre-closed sets in Neutrosophictopology, *International journal of chem Tech Research*, 2017, 10, 449-458.
- [9] Zadeh, L., Fuzzy sets, *Information and control*, 1965, 8, 338-353.
- [10] SMARANDACHE, Florentin. A unifying field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. In: *Philosophy*. American Research Press, 1999. p. 1-141.
- [11] Krassimir T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 1986, 20, 1, 87- 96.
- [12] Florentin Smarandache, Operators on Single valued Neutrosophic oversets, Neutrosophic undersets and Neutrosophic offsets, *Journal of Mathematics and informatics* , *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2016, 5, 63-67.
- [13] Jaya Puvaneswari, P., Bageerathi, K., Neutrosophic feebly open set in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *International journal Mathematics Trends and Technology*, 2016, 37, 3, 24-33.
- [14] SALAMA, A. A.; BROUMI, Said; ALBLOWI, S. A. Introduction to neutrosophic topological spatial region, possible application to gis topological rules. *IJ Information Engineering and Electronic Business*, 2014, 6: 15-21.
- [15] Florentin Smarandache, Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic logic, Test International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic logic, set, Probability and Statistics , University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301,USA,2002.
- [16] VELICKO, N. V. H-closed topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, 1967, 78.20: 103-118.
- [17] BAKER, C. W. Weak forms of openness based upon denseness. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 1996, 20.3: 389-394.
- [18] SARSAK, Mohammad S. More on rc-Lindelöf sets and almost rc-Lindelöf sets. *International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences*, 2006, 2006.1: 057918.
- [19] POPA, V. Characterizations of H-almost continuous functions. *Glasnik Mat*, 1987, 22.42: 157-161.
- [20] CROSSLEY, S.; HILDEBRAND, S. Semi-topological properties. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 1972, 74.3: 233-254.
- [21] LATIF, Raja Mohammad. Neutrosophic grb-Continuous and grb-Irresolute Mappings in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. *International Journal of Pure Mathematics*, 2022, 9: 55-69.