





opened new horizons for understanding the structural behavior of operators. In 1980, Halmos [1] first put his idea of hyponormality under the different term, subnormality. Furthermore, many properties of hyponormal operators are explained. In general, A hyponormal operator is based on the concept of adjoint, for instance, the unilateral shift operator. In 1984, M. Putinar [2] proposed a universal functional model for hyponormal operators, where it was shown that every hyponormal operator is a subscalar operator. In 2019, Okelo [3] provided a detailed description of hyponormal operators that achieved new results for norm. In 2019, Sitate [4] introduced a generalized class  $G_A$  of A-unitary, A-normal, and A-hyponormal operators, and the properties of this class of operators were explained and proven A-unitary equivalence is an equivalence relation it also proves many results in terms of polar analysis of an operator T. Afterwards, In 2020, Mohsen [5] introduced a new generalization for hyponormal operators, which gave the solvability of the  $\lambda$ -commuting operators equation, and studied some properties of these operators. In 2020, Chellali and ali [6] studied a new class of operators defined on complex Hilbert space, named (n,m)-power-D-hyponormal operators, which is related to the Drazin inverse through which the proposed operator is defined. They also studied some of its advantages and presented some practical examples. Whereas, in 2020, Dana and Yousefi [7] studied the generalized class of D-hyponormal operators and extended the concept of D-normality and achieved fundamental results in proving many theorems to include a wider range of cases within Hilbert spaces. In the same year, Dharmarha and Ram [8] studied two generalized classes of linear operators on Hilbert spaces, namely (m,n)-paranormal and  $(m,n)^*$ -paranormal operators. In 2021, Mortad [9] presented three counterexamples to illustrate that supernatural operators are densely defined, but some of these may not be closeable. In 2016, Bachir [10] studied a class of (p,k)-quasiposinormal operators and concluded that the generalized derivative resulting from proving Putnam-Fugede theorem is orthogonal to its kernel. In 2022, Mesbah and Messaoudene [11] studied a special class of D-hyponormal and D-quasi-hyponormal operators and investigated some properties of these operators, they also presented a generalized study of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem. In the same year. Benali [12] studied some basic properties of a new class of (n,m)-power-D-quasi-hyponormal operators generalizations closely related to the Drazin inverse. Whereas, in 2023, Mohsen [13] considered the notion of an M-hyponormal operator, a novel extension of the hyponormal operator, and discussed several crucial outcomes related to it. Moreover, Shen et al. [14] introduced a class of p-quasi-n-hyponormal operators and demonstrated their structural properties via the Hansen inequality and Lowner-Heinz inequality. Afterwards, in 2024, Mohsen [15] established the notion of k Quasi  $(\lambda - M)$ -hyponormal Operator. In addition, Al-Shammari [16] formulated another generalization related to the Drazin inverse called (n,m)-Drazin normal operators. In 2024, Mohsen [17] introduced a completely new class of quasi operators, named (n,D)-quasi operators on the Hilbert spaces using Drazin inverse, also studied the scalar and power of this concept and proved of the Tensor product and direct product for this class. Whereas, In 2025, Dana et al. [18] likewise provided some findings on the inequalities and generalized outcomes of the D-hyponormal operator. However, in 2004, Caradus [19] provided and discussed the Drazin inverse operator, as a generalization of a hyponormal operator, it is used in solving many in Cauchy problems, differential equations, and analyzing Markov chains, [20,21]. Whereas, in 2006, Liu and Wang [22] proposed a concept of linear operators between normed linear spaces and defined a type of inverse called a bounded quasi-linear generalized inverse. In past years, numerous researchers, such as Duggal and Kim [23], Chen and Sheibani [24], and Qin and Lu [25] have proposed other generalization and important developments in Drazin inverse theory and its extensions in different fields, such as



Weyl's theorem and the Spectral Mapping Theorem they have also discussed several other properties that could be generalizations defined that open up horizons for applications in the analysis of normal and hyponormal operators and its implementations within Hilbert spaces and Banach algebras. Within this framework, in 1965, Zadeh [26] introduced a significant mathematical concept called fuzzy sets. Thereafter, in 1999, Molodsov [27] established Soft Set Theory (SS-T) as an elegant mathematical tool for treating uncertainty and assorted complex problems. Whereas, in 2001, Maji [28] combined the principles of fuzzy and soft into an interesting idea, which is named Fuzzy Soft Set (FSS). Fuzzy Soft Set Theory (FSSs-T) is a substantial theme. Many investigators have studied numerous elegant implementations of FSSs-T in varied disciplines and contributed to the expansion and highlighting of considerable new characteristics on this topic. Among their pivotal contributions are, for instance [29-36]. In 2023, Mohsen [37] developed a new idea named fuzzy soft b-metric, and developed some basic theories of this concept and defined a fuzzy soft open ball and fuzzy soft Hausdorff b-metric space. Subsequently, In 2020, Faried et al. [38] studied several generalized concepts on fuzzy soft (FS) systems, where they presented a generalization of the fuzzy soft orthogonal family and the fuzzy soft orthonormal family also studied fuzzy soft spectral and fuzzy soft spectral radius with other types of fuzzy soft Linear operators ,also presented a definition of fuzzy soft shift from right and left and they concluded that fuzzy soft Hilbert space is fuzzy soft self-dual. ). In 2020, Faried et al. [39] investigated the fuzzy soft Hilbert space principle alongside its attributes and distinct new outcomes. In 2022, Mohsen [40] formulated an advanced class within fuzzy soft Hilbert space called fuzzy soft quasi normal operator and discussed some properties of this concept. Afterwards, in 2023, Mohsen [41] proposed a new class of fuzzy soft operator in fuzzy soft Hilbert space, named fuzzy soft  $(\hat{K}^* - \hat{A})$ -quasinormal operator. Whereas, in 2024, Radharamani and Nagajothi [42] proposed a first of its kind class of fuzzy soft paranormal operators and they also studied some of the concepts and theories related to this regard. In this paper, a new class of fuzzy soft hyponormal operators, which is closely related to the Drazin inverse is introduced, namely the fuzzy soft adjoint Drazin inverse hyponormal operator. The necessary conditions for a new operator are investigated. The direct sum and tensor product are also examined.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This section introduces the key concepts in the study of fuzzy soft Hilbert space, which will be employed to get the main findings.

**Definition 2.1.** [26] The set of order pair  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{(Y, \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(Y)) \mid Y \in X, \mathcal{A}(Y) \in \mathcal{J}\}$  named fuzzy set on  $X$  with a membership function  $\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}: X \rightarrow \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma = [0,1]$ . A set  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is sometimes be written as  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(Y)}{Y} \mid Y \in X \right\}$ . The real number,  $\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(Y)$ , namely the membership of  $Y$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [27] The set  $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\mathfrak{f}(\omega) \in (X) : \omega \in \mathcal{G}\}$  named soft set over  $X$ , with a set of parameters  $\Sigma$  and  $(X)$  the set of all subsets  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow X$ , represented by  $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{G}}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [28] The soft set  $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is named fuzzy soft set (FSSs) over  $X$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma^X$ , has the range  $\{(\omega) \in \Gamma^X : \omega \in \mathcal{G}\}$ , and the class of all (FSSs), indicated by  $\text{FSSs}(\tilde{X})$ .



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم الفيزيائية وعلوم الحاسوب وعلوم الرياضيات والفيزياء

**Definition 2.4.** [39] The set fuzzy soft vector is FS-vector space , according with the following two operations below:

- i.  $\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1 + \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2 = (\widetilde{v^1 + v^2})_{(f_1G(e_1)+ f_2G(e_2))}$  for all  $\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1, \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2 \in \text{FS-V}$ .
- ii.  $\tilde{r}\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} = (\widetilde{rv})_{fG(e)}$  for all  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} \in \text{FS-V}$  and  $\forall \tilde{r} \in \tilde{R}_B$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [39] Assume  $\tilde{H}$  be FS-V and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$  be fuzzy soft real set. A mapping  $\|\cdot\|: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$  namely fuzzy soft norm (FS-N) on  $\tilde{H}$  if  $\|\cdot\|$  achieves the following:

- i. for all  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} \in \tilde{H}$   $\|\widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}}\| \succeq \tilde{0}$ ;
- ii.  $\|\widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}}\| \cong \tilde{0}$  if and only if  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} \cong \tilde{0}$ ;
- iii. for all  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} \in \tilde{H}$ , and  $\tilde{r} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$   $\|\widetilde{\tilde{r}\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}}\| \cong |\tilde{r}| \|\widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}}\|$ ;
- iv. for all  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e_1)}, \tilde{u}_{fG(e_2)} \in \tilde{H}$  obtain  $\|\widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e_1)} + \tilde{u}_{fG(e_2)}}\| \preceq \|\widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e_1)}}\| + \|\widetilde{\tilde{u}_{fG(e_2)}}\|$ .

The FS-V  $\tilde{H}$  with  $\|\cdot\|$  indicated by  $(\tilde{H}, \|\cdot\|)$ , namely fuzzy soft normed space (FS-N space).

**Definition 2.6.** [38] Assume  $\tilde{H}$  be FS-V and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$  be fuzzy soft complex set. Then, the mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \tilde{H} \times \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ , called fuzzy soft inner product (FS-IP) on  $\tilde{H}$  if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  achieves the following:

- i. for all  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} \in \tilde{H}$  yields  $\langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}} \rangle \succeq \tilde{0}$ ;
- ii.  $\langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{fG(e)}} \rangle \cong \tilde{0}$  if and only if  $\tilde{v}_{fG(e)} \cong \tilde{0}$ ;
- iii. for all  $\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1, \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2 \in \tilde{H}$  gains  $\langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2} \rangle \cong \overline{\langle \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2, \tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1 \rangle}$ ;
- iv. for all  $\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1, \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2 \in \tilde{H}$  and  $\tilde{r} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ , attains  $\langle \tilde{r} \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2} \rangle \cong \tilde{r} \langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2} \rangle$ ;
- v. for all  $\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1, \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2, \tilde{v}_{f_3G(e_3)}^3 \in \tilde{H}$  we yield  $\langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1 + \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_3G(e_3)}^3} \rangle \cong \langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_3G(e_3)}^3} \rangle + \langle \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2}, \widetilde{\tilde{v}_{f_3G(e_3)}^3} \rangle$ .

The FS-V  $\tilde{H}$  with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  indicated by  $(\tilde{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  namely fuzzy soft inner product space (FS-IP space).

**Definition 2.7.**[39] Assume  $(\tilde{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a fuzzy soft inner product space, then is fuzzy soft Hilbert space when its fuzzy soft complete, denoted by FSH-space.

**Definition 2.8.** [38] Let  $\tilde{H}$  be FSH-space, the operator  $\tilde{\Lambda}: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ , named fuzzy soft linear operator (FS-operator), if for all  $\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1, \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2 \in \tilde{H}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ , then

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1 + \tilde{\rho} \tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2) \cong \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{v}_{f_1G(e_1)}^1) + \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{v}_{f_2G(e_2)}^2).$$





مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم الفيزيائية والعلوم الحاسوبية والعلوم الرياضية والعلوم الطبيعية والعلوم الإنسانية

$$(\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D})^{k+1} \cong (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda})^{k+1}$$

Therefore  $(\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D})^m \cong (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda})^m$  is FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator.

**Theorem 3.6.** Assume  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{k}$  be FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator on FSH-space  $\tilde{H}$ . If  $\tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k} \cong \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}$  Then  $(\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k})$  is FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator.

Proof: Since  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{k}$  are FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator then  $\tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k} \cong \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k}) (\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k})^{*D} &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k})(\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} + \tilde{k}^{*D}) \\ &\cong \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} + \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k}^{*D} + \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} + \tilde{k} \tilde{k}^{*D} \\ &\cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k} + \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{k} \\ &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} + \tilde{k}^{*D})(\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k}) \\ &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k})^{*D} (\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{k}$  is FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator.

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{k}$  be FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator on FSH-space  $\tilde{H}$  If  $\tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k} \cong \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}$ . Then  $\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k}$  is FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator.

Proof: Since  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{k}$  are FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator then  $\tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{k} \cong \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k}) (\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k})^{*D} &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k})(\tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}) \\ &\cong \tilde{\Lambda} (\tilde{k} \tilde{k}^{*D}) \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \\ &\cong \tilde{\Lambda} (\tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{k}) \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \\ &\cong (\tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{k})(\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}) \\ &\cong \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda} (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}) \\ &\cong \tilde{k}^{*D} (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D}) \tilde{k} \tilde{\Lambda} \\ &\cong (\tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D})(\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k}) \\ &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k})^{*D} (\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{k}$  is FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator.

**Theorem 3.8.** Assume  $\tilde{\Lambda}_1, \tilde{\Lambda}_2, \tilde{\Lambda}_3, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_m$  are FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator in  $\tilde{B}(\tilde{H})^D \forall k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ . Then  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m)$  is FS-(D, \*)-hyponormal operator.

Proof:



مجلة جامعة بابل للعلوم التطبيقية وعلوم الحياة والبيئة  
 Journal of Babylon University for Applied Sciences, Life and Environmental Sciences

ISSN: 2312-8135 | Print ISSN: 1992-0652  
 info@journalofbabylon.com | jub@itnet.uobabylon.edu.iq | www.journalofbabylon.com

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m)(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m)^{*D} &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m) (\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D}) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D}) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}_m) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D})(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m)^{*D} (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_m)$  is FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator.

**Theorem 3.9.** Assume  $\tilde{\Lambda}_1, \tilde{\Lambda}_2, \tilde{\Lambda}_3, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_m$  are FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator in  $\tilde{B}(\tilde{H})^D$  for all  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ , then  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m)$  is FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m)(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m)^{*D} &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m) (\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D}) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D}) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}_m) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*D} \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*D} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{*D})(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m)^{*D} (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}_m)$  is FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator.

**Corollary 3.10.** If  $\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{k} \in$  FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator, Then  $(\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k}) \in$  FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator .

Proof: Assume  $\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{k} \in$  FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator] , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k}) (\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k})^{*D} &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k}) (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \oplus \tilde{k}^{*D}) \\
 &\cong \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \oplus \tilde{k} \tilde{k}^{*D} \\
 &\cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{k} \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \oplus \tilde{k}^{*D})(\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k}) \\
 &\cong (\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k})^{*D} (\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k})
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\tilde{\Lambda} \oplus \tilde{k})$  is FS-(D,\*)-hyponormal operator .



**Proposition 3.11.** Assume  $\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{k} \in \tilde{B}(\tilde{H})^D$ , if  $\tilde{k}$  is FS-(D, \*)- hyponormal operator, and  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is FS-unitary equivalent to  $\tilde{k}$  Then  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  FS-(D, \*)- hyponormal operator.

Proof: Assume  $\tilde{k}$  is FS-(D, \*)- hyponormal operator and  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \tilde{B}(\tilde{H})^D$  is FS-unitary equivalent to  $\tilde{k}$  there exists a FS-unitary equivalent  $\tilde{V} \in \tilde{B}(\tilde{H})$ , fulfilling  $\tilde{\Lambda} \cong \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k} \tilde{V}$ . So  $\tilde{\Lambda}^D \cong \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k}^D \tilde{V}$ .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} &\cong \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k} \tilde{V} \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{V} \\ &\cong \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k} \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{V} \\ &\cong \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{k} \tilde{V} \\ &\cong \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k}^{*D} \tilde{V} \tilde{V}^* \tilde{k} \tilde{V} \\ &\cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \cong \tilde{\Lambda}^{*D} \tilde{\Lambda}$ .

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by Mustansiriyah University Thanks for the help provided to successfully complete this research.

### Conflict of interests.

The authors decelerate that there is no conflict of interest.

### References

- [1] P.R. Halmos, "A Hilbert space problem book," *Springer-Verlag New York Inc*, second Edition 1980.
- [2] M.Putinar " Hyponormal operators are subscalar " *Journal of Operator Theory*,vol. 12, no.1984,pp. 385-395,1984.
- [3] B. Okelo, "Characterization of Absolutely Norm Attaining Compact Hyponormal Operators," *Proceedings of International Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 96–102, 2019.
- [4] I.N.Sitati , " Results on A-unitary,A-normal and A-hyponormal operators" *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*,vol.65, no. 7, pp.322-329, 2019.
- [5] N.M. Atheab, S.D. Mohsen, "Some Results on (N, k)-Hyponormal Operators" *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol.1591, no1, 2020.
- [6] C.Chellali and A.Ali, " Class of (n,m)-D-hyponormal operators in Hilbert space " *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, vol.18,no.5,pp.774-783,2020.
- [7] M. Dana and R. Yousefi "Generalizations of some classical theorems to D-hyponormal operators on Hilbert spaces", *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2020, no.101, pp.2-9, 2020.
- [8] P. Dharmarha and S. Ram,"(m, n)-paranormal operators and (m, n) \* -paranormal operators," *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 151–159, 2020.



- [9] M.H. Mortad, "Counterexamples related to unbounded paranormal operators," *Examples and Counterexamples*, vol. 1, no. 100017, pp. 1-3, 2021.
- [10] A.Bachir, "An Asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede Theorem for  $(p,k)$ -Quasiposinormal operators" *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp.165-172, 2016.
- [11] N. Mesbah and H. Messaoudene, "D-hyponormal and D-quasi-hyponormal Operators", *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1097–1107, 2022.
- [12] A.Benali, "class of  $(n,m)$ -power-D-quasi-hyponormal operators in Hilbert space" *Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation*, vol. 14, no.1, pp.47-54, 2022.
- [13] S.D. Mohsen, "On  $(k, m)$ -n-Paranormal Operators" *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 3987–3992, 2023.
- [14] J. Shen, F. Zuo and H. Zuo, "On  $p$ -Quasi- $n$ -Hyponormal Operators," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 17, no.3, pp.1047-1058, 2023.
- [15] S.D. Mohsen, "Novel Results of  $k$  Quasi $(\lambda - M)$  -hyponormal Operator" *Iraq Journal of Science*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 374-380, 2024.
- [16] H.O. AlShammari, "Higher order  $(n, m)$ -Drazin normal operators," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2024, no.18, pp.2-13, 2024.
- [17] S.D. Mohsen, "On  $(n, D)$ -quasi Operators" *Iraqi Journal for Computer Science and Mathematics*, vol.5, no.1, pp. 175–181, 2024.
- [18] M. Dana, F. kousari and R. Yousefi " D-hyponormal operator" , *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2025, no.58 ,pp.2-19, 2025.
- [19] S. Caradus, *Operator Theory of the Generalized Inverse* Science Press, New York, 2004.
- [20] A. Ben-Israel and T.N. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*. Springer, New York 2006.
- [21] S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, SIAM, Philadelphia 2009.
- [22] P.Liu ,Y.Wang, "The best generalized inverse of the linear operator in normed linear space", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol.420, no.2007,pp.9-19,2006.
- [23] B.P. Duggal and I.H. Kim, "On power Drazin normal and Drazin quasi-normal Hilbert space operators," *operators and Matrices*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 523-533, 2020.
- [24] M. Chen and H. Sheibani, "The  $g$ -drazin inverse of the sum in banach algebras," *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 70, no.1, pp. 53–65, 2022.
- [25] X. Qin and L. Lu, " Drazin invertibility for sum and product of two elements in a ring", *Filomat*, vol.37, no.6, pp. 1751–1765, 2023.
- [26] L. Zadeh " Fuzzy sets", *Infection Control*, vol. 8, no.3, pp.338-353 ,1965.
- [27] D. Molodtsov "Soft set theory-First results", *Computers and Mathematics Applications*, vol. 37, no.4-5, pp. 19-31.1999.
- [28] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas and A.R. Roy " Fuzzy soft set", *J. Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol .9, no.3, pp. 677- 692, 2001.
- [29] S. Das and S. K. Samanta, "On soft inner product spaces," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 151–170, 2013.



- [30] T.T. Beaula and C. Gunaseeli, "On fuzzy soft metric spaces," *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 197–202, 2014.
- [31] M. Riaz and S. T. Tehrim, "Cubic bipolar fuzzy ordered weighted geometric aggregation operators and their application using internal and external cubic bipolar fuzzy data," *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 38, no. 87, pp. 1-25, 2019.
- [32] M. Riaz and S.T. Tehrim, "Bipolar fuzzy soft mappings with application to bipolar disorders," *International Journal of Biomathematics*, vol.12, no.7, pp. 1-31, 2019.
- [33] M. Riaz and M. R. Hashmi, "Linear diophantine fuzzy set and its applications towards multiattribute decision-making problems," *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 5417–5439, 2019.
- [34] M. Riaz, N. Çağman, I. Zareef, and M. Aslam, "N-soft topology and its applications to multicriteria group decision-making," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 6521– 6536, 2019.
- [35] M. Riaz and S.T. Tehrim, "Multi-attribute group decisionmaking based on cubic bipolar fuzzy information using averaging aggregation operators," *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 2473–2494, 2019.
- [36] M. R. Hashmi, M. Riaz, and F. Smarandache, "M-polar neutrosophic topology with applications to multi-criteria decisionmaking in medical diagnosis and clustering analysis," *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 273–292, 2020.
- [37] S.D. Mohsen, Thiyab, Y.H. "Some Characteristics Of Completeness Property In Fuzzy Soft b-Metric Space" *Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*, vol. 27, no.3, pp. 2227–2232, 2023.
- [38] N. Faried, M.S.S. Ali and H.H. Sakr, "On Fuzzy Soft Linear Operators in Fuzzy Soft Hilbert Spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2020, no. 5804957, pp. 1-13, 2020.
- [39] N. Faried, M. S. S. Ali and H. H. Sakr "Fuzzy Soft Hilbert Spaces," *Journal of Mathematics and Computer science*, vol.22, no.2, pp. 142-157, 2021.
- [40] S.D. Mohsen, "Another Results Related of Fuzzy Soft Quasi Normal Operator in Fuzzy Soft Hilbert Space" *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 2322, no.2022, 2022.
- [41] S.D. Mohsen, "Some generalizations of fuzzy soft  $(k^* - \hat{A})$  –quasinormal operators in fuzzy soft Hilbert spaces" *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no.6, pp. 1133–1143, 2023.
- [42] A. Radharamani and T. Nagajothi, "Fuzzy Soft Paranormal Operator in Fuzzy Soft Hilbert Space," *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, vol 31, no. 2, 2024.